

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School ACADEMY OF BUSINESS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT School ID 33-13-00-01-1336 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13 Principal SIMONE MCINTOSH Telephone (718) 783-4842 Grades 6-12

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

This report includes:

1 Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

2 Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

3 Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

1 Profile

School ACADEMY OF BUSINESS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT School ID 33-13-00-01-1336

Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	0	0	
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	41	49	44
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	44	43	36
Grade 8	31	46	39
Grade 9	81	57	39
Grade 10	9	30	22
Grade 11	0	5	29
Grade 12	0	0	16
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	206	230	225

Average Class Size

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch			25
Grade 8			
English	40		
Mathematics	40		
Science	40		
Social Studies	40		
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

1 Profile

School ACADEMY OF BUSINESS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT School ID 33-13-00-01-1336

Demographic Factors

	200	9–10	2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	136	66%	153	67%	165	73%
Reduced Price Lunch	25	12%	28	12%	19	8%
Limited English Proficient	4	2%	8	3%	7	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	1%	3	1%	3	1%
Black or African American	185	90%	202	88%	200	89%
Hispanic or Latino	12	6%	16	7%	15	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	2%	7	3%	6	3%
White	2	1%	2	1%	1	0%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	8-09	200	9-10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		87%		82%		82%
Student Suspensions	26	13%	25	12%	21	9%

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	20	16	16
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	5%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	10%	6%	0%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	20%	6%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	30%	31%	38%
Total Number of Core Classes	17	14	15
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	12%	7%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	7%	7%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	20	16	16
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	10%	6%	6%

*Not available at the district or statewide level.

**Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	20%	33%	50%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	25%	44%

Staff Counts

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	1	3	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

*Not available at the school level.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

High-poverty and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

Student Performance 2

School ACADEMY OF BUSINESS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT School ID 33-13-00-01-1336

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

Secondary-Level Cohorts

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This	School		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Perce	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 653 2011 Mean Score: 654	*Range:644-	-785 662-78	5 694-785							
2011-12 2010-11	83%	72% 20% 319	6 0% 0%	84% 83%	38% 37%	<u>1%</u> 1%	89% 88%	56% 56%	2% 4%	
Number of Tested Students:	29 2	26 7 11	0 0	876 936	396 412	8 10				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	35	83%	20%	0%	36	72%	31%	0%	
Female			•				· ·	-	
Male	35	83%	20%	0%	36	72%	31%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	31	-	-	–	33	-	-	–	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	–	1	-	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
White					1	-	–	–	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	35	83%	20%	0%	36	72%	31%	0%	
General-Education Students	32	-	-	-	36	72%	31%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	3	-					••••••		
English Proficient	33	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	2	-			2	-	-	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	35	83%	20%	0%	36	72%	31%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged			•••••				•••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	35	83%	20%	0%	36	72%	31%	0%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	-	2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number	scoring at le	evel(s):
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Sc	hool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
		Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			ge scoring at le	vel(s):
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 646 2011 Mean Score: 661	*Range	:640-78	0 674-780	700-780						
2011–12 2010–11	100%	86% 65%	31% 18%	0% 0%	87% 87%	46% 43%	16% _{9%}	92% 92%	65% 63%	^{1%} 26
Number of Tested Students:	L	22 31	6 11	0 0	921 985	490 483	166 104			

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	34	65%	18%	0%	36	86%	31%	0%	
Female			•	-			· ·	<u>.</u>	
Male	34	65%	18%	0%	36	86%	31%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	30	-	-	–	33	-	–	-	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	–	1	-	–	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
White					1	-	–	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	34	65%	18%	0%	36	86%	31%	0%	
General-Education Students	32	-	_	-	36	86%	31%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	2	-		-					
English Proficient	32	-	_	-	34	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	2	-	_	-	2	-	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	34	65%	18%	0%	36	86%	31%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant	34	65%	18%	0%	36	86%	31%	0%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011–12 data only. Ranges for 2010–11 data are available in the 2010–11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	,	2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			School	District		NY State Public			
				Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4		
2012 Mean Score: 658 2011 Mean Score: 653	*Range: 642–790	665-790	698-790							
2011-12 2010-11	93% 82%	29%	0% 0%	90% 87%	^{36%} 27%	<u>1% 1%</u>	92% 91%	52% 48% <u>4%</u> 4%		
Number of Tested Students:	26 27	86	0 0	984 972	391 305	10 10				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	28	93%	29 %	0%	33	82%	18%	0%	
Female			•	- <u>-</u>				-	
Male	28	93%	29%	0%	33	82%	18%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	24	-	-	–	33	82%	18%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–		•••••			
White	1	-	-	_					
Multiracial		••••••							
Small Group Totals	28	93%	29%	0%					
General-Education Students	28	93%	29%	0%	31	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities		••••••	•••••		2	-		_	
English Proficient	27	-	-	-	33	82%	18%	0%	
_imited English Proficient	1		-						
Economically Disadvantaged	28	93%	29%	0%	33	82%	18%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged		•••••••							
Migrant									
Not Migrant	28	93%	29%	0%	33	82%	18%	0%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	Т	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			School [District		NY State Public			
	F				Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2	-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 666 2011 Mean Score: 657	*Range:6	39-800	670-800	694-800							
2011-12 2010-11	100% 9	6% 79%	37% 35%		87% 87%	47% 43%		91% 92%	65% 65%	31% 30%	
Number of Tested Students:	2	6 27	10 12	7% 12% 2 4	957 975	518 481	11% 11% 116 126				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	27	96%	37%	7 %	34	79 %	35%	12%	
Female			·				- ·	-	
Male	27	96%	37%	7%	34	79%	35%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	23	-	-	–	34	79%	35%	12%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–					
White	1	-	-	-					
Multiracial			•••••						
Small Group Totals	27	96%	37%	7%					
General-Education Students	27	96%	37%	7%	32	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities			•••••		2	-	-		
English Proficient	26	-	_	-	34	79%	35%	12%	
_imited English Proficient	1	-		_					
Economically Disadvantaged	27	96%	37%	7%	34	79%	35%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged			•••••						
Migrant									
Not Migrant	27	96%	37%	7%	34	79%	35%	12%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				

Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Sch	This School			District		NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			ge scoring at	level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4		
2012 Mean Score: 648 2011 Mean Score: 645	*Range: 628-790	658-790	699-790							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 97% 80%	<mark>21%</mark> 17%		90% 88%	30% 28%		93% 92%	50% 47%		
Number of Tested Students:	33 28	7 6	0% 3% 0 1	973 989	328 317	0% <u>1%</u> 2 6		2% 2%		

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	34	97%	21%	0%	35	80%	17%	3%	
Female			•	-					
Male	34	97%	21%	0%	35	80%	17%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	34	97%	21%	0%	30	77%	17%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino		••••••			2	-	–	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	-	-	-	
White									
Multiracial		••••••							
Small Group Totals					5	100%	20%	0%	
General-Education Students	32	-	-	-	26	92%	23%	4%	
Students with Disabilities	2		-	–	9	44%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	34	97%	21%	0%	35	80%	17%	3%	
_imited English Proficient		••••••	•••••					•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	34	97%	21%	0%	35	80%	17%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged		•••••••	•••••						
Migrant									
Not Migrant	34	97%	21%	0%	35	80%	17%	3%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	-	This Sch	ool		School I	District		NY State Public			
	-	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4		
2012 Mean Score: 662 2011 Mean Score: 652	*Range:6	539-775	674-775	704-775							
2011–12 2010–11	100% g	68%	26%	6% 6%	90% 83%	42% 37%	6% 5%	93% 91%	61% 60% 20% 18'		
Number of Tested Students:]	32 23	95	2 2	974 929	455 419	70 55				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear	2010–11 School Year				
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	34	94%	26 %	6 %	34	68%	15%	6%
Female				_				-
Male	34	94%	26%	6%	34	68%	15%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	34	94%	26%	6%	29	66%	10%	3%
Hispanic or Latino		••••••			2	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	-	-	-
White								
Multiracial		•••••	•••••					•••••
Small Group Totals					5	80%	40%	20%
General-Education Students	32	-	-	_	25	76%	20%	8%
Students with Disabilities	2	-	-	–	9	44%	0%	0%
English Proficient	34	94%	26%	6%	34	68%	15%	6%
imited English Proficient		••••••	•••••					•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	34	94%	26%	6%	34	68%	15%	6%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	34	94%	26%	6%	34	68%	15%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,


data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0					

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2011-12	2 School Y	ear		2010-11	School Y	ear	
Student Group	Total	Percenta	ige scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring	at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	33	100%	61%	3 %	33	82%	33%	12%
Female			•	•				
Male	33	100%	61%	3%	33	82%	33%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	33	100%	61%	3%	28	79%	25%	11%
Hispanic or Latino		••••	••••••		2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	-	-	-
White								
Multiracial		••••						
Small Group Totals		••••			5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	31	-	_	-	24	92%	42%	17%
Students with Disabilities	2	-			9	56%	11%	0%
English Proficient	33	100%	61%	3%	33	82%	33%	12%
imited English Proficient		••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	33	100%	61%	3%	33	82%	33%	12%
lot Disadvantaged								
<i>l</i> igrant								
Not Migrant	33	100%	61%	3%	33	82%	33%	12%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0					
Regents Science	0				0					

Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English Language Arts after Four Years of Instruction

	This S	This School			l District		NY State Public			
	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2008 Cohort 2007 Cohort 	57%	57%	10%	89%	87%	48%	84%	82%	38%	

Populto by	2008 Cohor	t		2007 Cohort						
Results by	Number	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Number	Percenta	ge scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	tudents 2–4 3–4 4		4	of Students	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	21	57%	57%	10%	1	-	-	-		
Female										
Male	21	57%	57%	10%	1	-	-	–		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	19	-		-	1	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander										
White										
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	21	57%	57%	10%	1	-	-	-		
General-Education Students	15	80%	80%	13%						
Students with Disabilities	6	0%	0%	0%	1	-	-	-		
English Proficient	21	57%	57%	10%	1	_	-	_		
Limited English Proficient			••••••					•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	21	57%	57%	10%	1	-	-	-		
Not Disadvantaged			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	21	57%	57%	10%	1	-	-	-		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This S	This School			l District		NY State Public			
	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Cohort 2007 Cohort	81%	62%	0%	89%	85%	40%	87%	82%	22%	

Populto by	2008 Cohor	t						
Results by Student Group	Number	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):	Number	Percenta	ge scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2–4	3–4	4	of Students	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	21	81%	62%	0%	1	-	-	-
Female								
Male	21	81%	62%	0%	1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	19	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	81%	62%	0%	1	-	-	-
General-Education Students	15	93%	80%	0%				
Students with Disabilities	6	50%	17%	0%	1	-	-	-
English Proficient	21	81%	62%	0%	1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	21	81%	62%	0%	1	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	21	81%	62%	0%	1	-	-	-

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for the next and the n

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2008 Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Global History and Geography, U.S. History and Government, and Science after Four Years of Instruction

	All Stuc	All Students				-Educatio	n Studen	ts	Students with Disabilities				
	Cohort Enrollment		Percentage of students scoring:			Percent scoring:	age of stu	dents	Cohort Enrollment	Percent scoring:	age of stu	dents	
		55-64	65-84	85-100		55-64	65-84	85-100		55-64	65-84	85-100	
Global History and Geography	21	10%	48%	0%	15	13%	67%	0%	6	0%	0%	0%	
U.S. History and Government	21	14%	43%	0%	15	20%	60%	0%	6	0%	0%	0%	
Science	21	14%	48%	0%	15	13%	67%	0%	6	17%	0%	0%	

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2011-12

	All Students									
	Total Tested	Number of students scoring at Level:								
Secondary Level		1	2	3	4					
English Language Arts	0									
Mathematics	0									
Social Studies	0									
Science	0									

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Regents Exams

-		All Students				Genera	I-Educat	ion Stud	ents	Students with Disabilities			
		Total Tested		age of stue at or abov		Total Tested		age of stu at or abo		Total Tested		age of stu at or abov	
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85
Comprehensive English	2011-12	38	79%	66%	5%	31	90%	81%	6%	7	29%	0%	0%
	2010-11	14	86%	64%	36%	13	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	2009-10	0				0				0			
Integrated Algebra	2011-12	83	53%	31%	0%	67	57%	34%	0%	16	38%	19%	0%
	2010-11	42	50%	29%	0%	29	66%	38%	0%	13	15%	8%	0%
	2009-10	79	65%	24%	1%	59	71%	27%	2%	20	45%	15%	0%
Geometry	2011-12	0				0				0			
	2010-11	11	36%	18%	0%	11	36%	18%	0%	0			
	2009-10	16	38%	13%	0%	16	38%	13%	0%	0			
Algebra 2/Trigonometry	2011-12	0				0				0			
	2010-11	2	-	_	_	2	-	—	_	0	-	_	_
	2009-10	0				0				0			
Global History and Geography	2011-12	38	45%	37%	0%	30	57%	47%	0%	8	0%	0%	0%
	2010-11	33	61%	39%	3%	29	-	-	—	4	-	_	_
	2009-10	0				0				0			
U.S. History and Government	2011-12	41	71%	54%	15%	35	77%	63%	17%	6	33%	0%	0%
	2010-11	0				0				0			
	2009-10	11	45%	36%	0%	11	45%	36%	0%	0			
Living Environment	2011-12	40	55%	35%	0%	29	66%	45%	0%	11	27%	9%	0%
	2010-11	36	64%	44%	6%	27	78%	56%	7%	9	22%	11%	0%
	2009-10	36	58%	36%	3%	27	74%	48%	4%	9	11%	0%	0%
Physical Setting/Earth Science	2011-12	3	-	_	_	3	-	_	_	0	-	-	_
	2010-11	13	46%	23%	0%	13	46%	23%	0%	0			
	2009-10	0				0				0			
Physical Setting/Chemistry	2011-12	0				0				0			
	2010-11	0				0				0			
	2009-10	0				0				0			
Physical Setting/Physics	2011-12	0				0				0			
- •	2010-11	0				0				0			
	2009-10	0				0				0			

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five

students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

Regents Competency Tests

		All Stude	nts	General-E	ducation Students	Students w	vith Disabilities
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:
Mathematics	2011-12	5	0%	0		5	0%
	2010-11	5	0%	0		5	0%
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Science	2011-12	8	0%	0		8	0%
	2010-11	5	0%	0		5	0%
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Reading	2011-12	3	-	0		3	-
	2010-11	0		0		0	
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Writing	2011-12	4	-	0		4	-
	2010-11	0		0		0	
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Global Studies	2011-12	8	25%	0		8	25%
	2010-11	0		0		0	
	2009-10	0		0		0	
U.S. History and Government	2011-12	4	-	0		4	_
	2010-11	0		0		0	
	2009-10	0		0		0	

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All Stu	All Students					al-Education	on Studen	Studen	ts with Dis	sabilitie	s			
_	Total Tested			-)	Total Tested			-		Total Tested				-
		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	0					0					0				
2011-12	2	-	_	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	2	-	_	—	_	2	-	-	-	-	0				
2009–10	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	_	-	0				
2011-12	2	-	_	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	2	-	-	—	-	2	-	-	-	-	0				
2009–10	1	-	—	-	_	1	—	-	-	-	0				
2011-12	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	1	-	_	_	_	0					1	_	_	-	-
2011-12	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	1	-	_	_	_	0					1	-	_	-	-
2011-12	6	0%	83%	17%	0%	4	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
2010-11	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	_	0				
2009–10	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	_	0				
2011-12	6	0%	100%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-	_	2	-	-	-	-
2010-11	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	_	0				
2009–10	2	-	_	-	-	2	-	-	_	_	0				
	2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11	Total Tested 2011-12 0 2009-10 0 2011-12 0 2011-12 0 2010-11 0 2010-12 0 2011-12 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 1 2010-11 1 2010-11 0 2009-10 1 2010-11 0 2009-10 1 2010-11 0 2009-10 1 2010-11 0 2009-10 1 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 <t< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent in each 2011-12 0 2001-11 0 2001-12 0 2001-11 0 2001-12 0 2009-10 0 2011-12 0 2009-10 0 2011-12 0 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2011-12 2 2010-11 2 20009-10 1 20009-10 1 2011-12 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 1 2011-12 1 2011-12 1 2011-12 1 2011-12 1 2010-11 0 20009-10 1 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 <t< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of studen in each performant in each performant</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level:</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students score student studentstudents score students score students score student</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Total in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 0 </td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of in each performance level: 2011-12 0 </td><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance since performance since performance 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 2 - - - 1 - 2010-11 2 - - -</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: \vec{v} <th< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 0 - 0 - 0 2010-11 0 - 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 - - 0 <t< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 0 - - 0 - 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0<td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 <</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 201-12 0 </td></td></t<></td></th<></td></t<></td></t<>	Total Tested Percent in each 2011-12 0 2001-11 0 2001-12 0 2001-11 0 2001-12 0 2009-10 0 2011-12 0 2009-10 0 2011-12 0 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2011-12 2 2010-11 2 20009-10 1 20009-10 1 2011-12 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 1 2011-12 1 2011-12 1 2011-12 1 2011-12 1 2010-11 0 20009-10 1 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 2010-11 2 <t< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of studen in each performant in each performant</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level:</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students score student studentstudents score students score students score student</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Total in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 0 </td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of in each performance level: 2011-12 0 </td><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance since performance since performance 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 2 - - - 1 - 2010-11 2 - - -</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: \vec{v} <th< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 0 - 0 - 0 2010-11 0 - 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 - - 0 <t< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 0 - - 0 - 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0<td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 <</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 201-12 0 </td></td></t<></td></th<></td></t<>	Total Tested Percent of studen in each performant in each performant	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level:	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: Second students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second students score student studentstudents score students score students score student	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Total in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 0	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of in each performance level: 2011-12 0	Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance since performance since performance 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-12 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 2 - - - 1 - 2010-11 2 - - -	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: \vec{v} <th< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 0 - 0 - 0 2010-11 0 - 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 - - 0 <t< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 0 - - 0 - 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0<td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 <</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 201-12 0 </td></td></t<></td></th<>	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 0 - 0 - 0 2010-11 0 - 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 - - 0 <t< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 0 - - 0 - 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0<td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 <</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 201-12 0 </td></td></t<>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 0 - - 0 - 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2009-10 0 - - 0 <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 <</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 201-12 0 </td>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 <	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 201-12 0

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #13

High School Completers

		All Students		General-Education Students		Students with Disabilities	
		Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates
Total Graduates	2011-12	12		11		1	
	2010-11	0		0		0	
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2011-12	12	100%	11	100%	1	100%
	2010-11	0		0		0	
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Receiving a Regents Diploma with Advanced Designation	2011-12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	2010-11	0		0		0	
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Receiving an	2011-12	0		0		0	
Individualized Education Program (IEP) Diploma	2010-11	0		0		0	
	2009-10	0		0		0	

NOTE

Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

High School Non-completers

		All Students		General-Education Students		Students with Disabilities	
		Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students
Dropped Out	2011-12	5	5%	2	3%	3	9%
	2010-11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Entered Approved High School Equivalency Preparation Program	2011-12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	2010-11	2	2%	1	1%	1	2%
	2009-10	0		0		0	
Total Non-completers	2011-12	5	5%	2	3%	3	9%
	2010-11	2	2%	1	1%	1	2%
	2009-10	0		0		0	

Post-secondary Plans of 2011–12 Completers

	All Students		General-Education Students		Students with Disabilities	
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students
To 4-year College	9	75%	9	82%	0	0%
To 2-year College	1	8%	1	9%	0	0%
To Other Post-secondary	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To the Military	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To Employment	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To Adult Services	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To Other Known Plans	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Plan Unknown	2	17%	1	9%	1	100%