

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2009 – 10

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000
Superintendent THOMAS PERILLO
Telephone (518) 843-5217
Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010–11 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
86	163	163
254	274	255
285	260	285
236	287	261
263	235	303
259	262	266
268	268	283
255	274	269
42	52	0
286	269	274
271	274	265
365	359	329
320	330	325
273	276	295
255	236	263
9	0	0
3641	3656	3673
	86 254 285 236 263 259 268 255 42 286 271 365 320 273 255 9	86 163 254 274 285 260 236 287 263 235 259 262 268 268 255 274 42 52 286 269 271 274 365 359 320 330 273 276 255 236 9 0

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Common Branch	22	22	23
Grade 8			
English	17	18	16
Mathematics	18	17	18
Science	18	18	19
Social Studies	18	20	17
Grade 10			
English	22	18	19
Mathematics	13	15	16
Science		21	21
Social Studies	18	18	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1262	35%	1137	31%	1270	35%
Reduced-Price Lunch	201	6%	176	5%	197	5%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	162	4%	143 4%		131	4%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	143	4%	163	4%	188	5%
Hispanic or Latino	1183	32%	1207	33%	1257	34%
Asian or Native	32	1%	28	1%	31	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2247	62%	2257	62%	2174	59%
Multiracial	36	1%	1	0%	23	1%

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		91%		90%
Student Suspensions	360	10%	540	15%	419	11%

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Number of Teachers	308	302	312
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	13%	12%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	11%	10%	10%
Total Number of Core Classes	918	902	798
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	3%	1%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	10%	8%	6%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1164	1139	1220
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	15%	9%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	11%	14%

Staff Counts

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Total Other Professional Staff	21	42	13
Total Paraprofessionals*	68	80	78
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	6	6	6

^{*} Not available at the school level.

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.


District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2009–10, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2009–10 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2006 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2009–10 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2006 Cohort

The count of students in the 2006 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2006 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006-07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006-07 school year, who were enrolled on October 7, 2009 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2010, are not included in the 2006 school accountability cohort. The 2006 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2005 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2009–10 school year, this cohort is the 2005 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2005 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at http://www.p12/nysed.gov/irts/sirs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2009–10, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2008–09 and 2009–10 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008) \times 0.20] + percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2009-10 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2008-09 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2008-09 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2009–10 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2009–10, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2006 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2009–10, data for 2008–09 and 2009–10 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2006 cohort members in the All Students group in 2009–10, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2010–11)	Improvement (Year 4)						
	ELA		Science	♠ Good Standing			
	Math	♣ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received Ti	tle I Part A Funding	,			
	2008-09		9-10	2010-11			
YES		YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary /	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	✓	V	×	V	×	
Ethnicity			,				
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	~	~	•••••••	_	_	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	X	~	•••••••	X	X	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_		-	<u> </u>		
White	~	V	••••••••	~	V	•••••••	
Multiracial							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	✓ SH		X	X		
Limited English Proficient	X	X	••••••••	_ _	_	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	X	V		✓ SH	X	•••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 3 of 7	X 6 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	X 2 of 5	X 2 of 5	X 0 of 1	



Improvement (Year 5 & Above) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Improvement (Year 4)
Accountability Measures	3 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 5) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 4) in 2011-12. [209]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1666:1589)	V	/	100%	V	155	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (91:81)	~	/	100%	✓	154	144		
Hispanic or Latino (591:560)	X	/	99%	X	137	150	147	105
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	_	_	_	_	-	_	•••••••	_
White (969:933)	/	/	100%	/	165	151	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)		***************************************					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (324:321)	X	~	99%	X	107	149	114	71
Limited English Proficient (72:76)	X	~	96%	X	103	144	106	54
Economically Disadvantaged (947:883)	X	✓	99%	X	141	151	150	109
Final AYP Determination	X 3 of	7						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (860:821)			100%		157	151		
Male (806:768)	•••••••	•••••	100%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	152	151	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject	^	Good Standing
(2010–11)		
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation		rmance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (1660:1590)	/	/	99%	V	170	132			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (91:81)	~	V	98%	v	162	124			
Hispanic or Latino (589:567)	V	V	100%	V	156	130	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	- -	_	_	_	-	_	•••••••	_	
White (965:927)	V	/	99%	V	178	131	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (322:320)	✓ SH	~	99%	✓ SH	124	129	122	87	
Limited English Proficient (70:83)	X	✓	100%	X	98	124	114	70	
Economically Disadvantaged (940:889)	/	~	100%	V	158	131			
Final AYP Determination	X 6 of	7				,			
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (858:821)			99%		168	131			
Male (802:769)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	99%		171	131	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	

Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
•	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2009-10	2010-11
Accountability Groups									
All Students (537:501)	V	Qualified	✓	97%	V	170	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (30:27)		_	-	-	_	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (179:164)	•	Qualified	V	96%	V	154	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)		_	_	-	_	-	-	••••••	_
White (323:305)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	98%	/	178	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••••••		••••	•••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (113:101)		Qualified	✓	92%	✓	129	100		
Limited English Proficient (20:14)	••••••	_	_	_	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Economically Disadvantaged (286:258)		Qualified	~	96%	~	159	100	••••••	•••••
Final AYP Determination	1 1 c	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups			1					,	,
Female (278:260)				97%		165	100		
Male (259:241)				97%		175	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

Symbols



Made AYP



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Improvement (Year 4)
Accountability Measures	2 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 5) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2010-11, the district will remain In Need of Improvement

(Year 4) in 2011-12. [209]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target	
(12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (256:246)	X	V	98%	X	168	170	169‡	171	
Ethnicity	'					'			
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (4:6)	-	_	-	_	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (65:62)	X	V	98%	X	142	165	124‡	148	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	_	_	-	_	_	-	••••••	-	
White (183:175)	/	V	98%	V	179	169	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (31:43)	X	-	-	X	88	162	20‡	99	
Limited English Proficient (1:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••••••	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (66:82)	✓ SH	V	97%	✓ SH	143	166	126	149	
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 5	5							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (138:132)			99%		171	168			
Male (118:114)			97%		165	167			
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••		••••••		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [202]

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
-	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Status	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target	
12th Graders: 2006 Cohort)		Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2009-10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (256:246)	/	/	99%	/	168	166			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (4:6)	_	_	-	_	-	-		_	
Hispanic or Latino (65:62)	X	/	98%	X	135	161	142‡	142	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	- -	_	-	_	_	-		-	
White (183:175)	V	/	99%	V	181	165	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••• ••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (31:43)	X	_	-	X	86	158	20‡	97	
Limited English Proficient (1:2)	_	_	-	_	_	_	•••••••	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (66:82)	X	/	97%	X	143	162	146	149	
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 5	5							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (138:132)			99%		177	164			
Male (118:114)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	99%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	157	163	••••••••	••••	
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	

Symbols



ade AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2010–11)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in graduation rate for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP in 2010-11, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2011-12. If this district makes AYP in 2010-11, the district will be in good standing in 2011-12. [203]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduat	ion	Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(2005 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2009-10	2010-11	
Accountability Groups							
All Students (284)	X	X	61%	80%	62%	65%	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (8)	••••••	_				•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (75)	•••••••	X	32%	80%	44%	42%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)	•••••••	_	_	-			
White (199)	•••••••	V	73%	80%	70%	74%	
Multiracial (0)	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (47)		X	19%	80%	30%	31%	
Limited English Proficient (7)	•••••••	_	_	-			
Economically Disadvantaged (72)	•••••••	V	47%	80%	46%	54%	
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of :	1					
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (123)			61%	80%			
Male (161)	••••••	•••••	61%	80%			
Migrant (0)	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••			

Symbols



Made AYP



Did not make AYP

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2005 total cohort through June 2010 (after 5 years) for this district is 66% and, therefore, this district *did not* meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

School Accountability Status

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

2010-11 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2010-11 accountability status.

In Good Standing

3 schools identified 50% of total

MARIE CURIE INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND COMMUNICATION

WILBUR H LYNCH LITERACY ACADEMY

WILLIAM H BARKLEY MICROSOCIETY

Corrective Action (year 1) Focused

1 school identified 17% of total

RAPHAEL J MCNULTY ACADEMY FOR INTERN STUDIES & LITERACY

Corrective Action (year 2) Comprehensive

1 school identified 17% of total

WILLIAM B TECLER ARTS IN EDUCATION

Restructuring (advanced) Focused

1 school identified 17% of total

AMSTERDAM HIGH SCHOOL

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2009–10 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	46%		296
Grade 4	43%		265
Grade 5	32%		263
Grade 6	46%		268
Grade 7	34%		274
Grade 8	34%		262
Mathematics			
Grade 3	39%		298
Grade 4	43%		266
Grade 5	44%		263
Grade 6	46%		271
Grade 7	48%		274
Grade 8	28%		258
Science			
Grade 4	81%		262
Grade 8	68%		251
	-	of students that above Level 3	2006 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	64%		319
Mathematics	63%		319

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	296	79%	46%	13%	255	88%	67%	13%
Female	143	78%	45%	13%	133	90%	68%	14%
Male	153	80%	46%	13%	122	85%	66%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	80%	40%	13%	15	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	115	72%	32%	3%	95	86%	57%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 5	80%	20%	20%	3	_	_	_
White	161	84%	57%	20%	142	87%	72%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					18	100%	78%	6%
General-Education Students	248	88%	52%	15%	217	96%	76%	14%
Students with Disabilities	48	38%	10%	2%	38	42%	13%	3%
English Proficient	291	80%	46%	13%	244	89%	69%	13%
Limited English Proficient	5	40%	0%	0%	11	55%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	195	76%	36%	8%	134	81%	53%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	101	85%	64%	23%	121	96%	82%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	296	79%	46%	13%	255	88%	67%	13%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 \$	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 97% 82% 14%				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	298	82%	39%	13%	259	97%	82%	14%			
Female	144	78%	37%	13%	133	96%	79%	15%			
Male	154	85%	41%	13%	126	98%	85%	13%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	15	67%	27%	7%	15	-	_	_			
Hispanic or Latino	118	75%	31%	5%	96	96%	75%	11%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	60%	20%	4	_	_	_			
White	160	87%	46%	19%	144	98%	85%	17%			
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals					19	100%	89%	5%			
General-Education Students	251	87%	43%	16%	219	100%	89%	16%			
Students with Disabilities	47	53%	15%	0%	40	83%	43%	0%			
English Proficient	291	83%	40%	13%	245	98%	85%	15%			
Limited English Proficient	7	29%	14%	14%	14	79%	21%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	198	79%	29%	9%	135	96%	78%	10%			
Not Disadvantaged	100	86%	58%	22%	124	99%	86%	19%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	298	82%	39%	13%	259	97%	82%	14%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scorin						ng at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	.		_		2			_		

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	age scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 59% 3% 61% 4%				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	265	84%	43%	3%	269	91%	59%	3%			
Female	141	87%	43%	2%	142	94%	61%	4%			
Male	124	81%	43%	3%	127	87%	56%	2%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	15	_	_	-	15	_	_	_			
Hispanic or Latino	94	80%	28%	0%	97	84%	46%	0%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 3	_		_	1		_	_			
White	153	86%	52%	3%	156	95%	67%	4%			
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••			•••••				
Small Group Totals	18	89%	39%	11%	16	94%	50%	0%			
General-Education Students	221	92%	49%	3%	228	97%	67%	3%			
Students with Disabilities	44	45%	11%	0%	41	56%	12%	0%			
English Proficient	253	87%	45%	3%	256	93%	61%	3%			
Limited English Proficient	12	33%	0%	0%	13	54%	8%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	151	77%	30%	1%	147	85%	46%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	114	94%	60%	5%	122	98%	74%	6%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	265	84%	43%	3%	269	91%	59%	3%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	ge scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 72% 16% 70% 13% 75% 20%			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	266	86%	43%	12%	275	89%	72%	16%		
Female	143	82%	40%	9%	143	91%	70%	13%		
Male	123	90%	46%	15%	132	88%	75%	20%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	15	_	_	_	16	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	96	80%	28%	4%	102	80%	58%	13%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	er 3	_	_	_	2		_	_		
White	152	89%	53%	16%	155	95%	83%	19%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••			•	•••••		
Small Group Totals	18	89%	39%	17%	18	94%	67%	17%		
General-Education Students	223	93%	49%	14%	234	95%	79%	19%		
Students with Disabilities	43	49%	12%	0%	41	56%	32%	2%		
English Proficient	252	89%	45%	12%	257	92%	76%	17%		
Limited English Proficient	14	21%	7%	7%	18	56%	17%	6%		
Economically Disadvantaged	152	80%	31%	6%	150	85%	61%	9%		
Not Disadvantaged	114	94%	59%	20%	125	94%	86%	25%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	266	86%	43%	12%	275	89%	72%	16%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	otal Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2			_	2			_	

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by	2009-10 \$	School Yea	r		2008-09	74 95% 79% 41% 43 95% 76% 36%			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	262	94%	81%	35%	274	95%	79%	41%	
Female	141	89%	75%	34%	143	95%	76%	36%	
Male	121	98%	88%	36%	131	95%	83%	47%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	15	_	_	_	15	_	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino	93	89%	73%	23%	102	94%	67%	30%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
White	151	95%	85%	44%	155	96%	87%	49%	
Multiracial	••••••			••••			•	•••••	
Small Group Totals	18	100%	89%	28%	17	94%	82%	29%	
General-Education Students	220	95%	86%	40%	233	96%	82%	46%	
Students with Disabilities	42	83%	57%	10%	41	90%	61%	10%	
English Proficient	248	95%	85%	37%	257	97%	83%	44%	
Limited English Proficient	14	64%	21%	7%	17	71%	24%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	148	90%	76%	25%	150	93%	72%	31%	
Not Disadvantaged	114	98%	88%	48%	124	98%	88%	52%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	262	94%	81%	35%	274	95%	79%	41%	

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	2	-	-	-

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	256 100% 74% 7%				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	263	70%	32%	4%	256	100%	74%	7%		
Female	138	71%	32%	2%	143	100%	78%	4%		
Male	125	70%	31%	6%	113	99%	69%	12%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	12	-	_	_	17	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino	100	54%	15%	2%	84	99%	58%	1%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2	-	_	-	2	-	_	_		
White	149	80%	41%	5%	153	100%	81%	11%		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	14	86%	50%	7%	19	100%	84%	5%		
General-Education Students	223	79%	37%	5%	209	100%	85%	9%		
Students with Disabilities	40	23%	3%	0%	47	98%	23%	0%		
English Proficient	247	73%	33%	4%	240	100%	78%	8%		
Limited English Proficient	16	25%	6%	6%	16	94%	13%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	153	60%	22%	1%	130	99%	60%	2%		
Not Disadvantaged	110	85%	45%	9%	126	100%	88%	13%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	263	70%	32%	4%	256	100%	74%	7%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10 S	ichool Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	263	83%	44%	14%	257	92%	70%	14%
Female	138	80%	39%	10%	142	94%	70%	11%
Male	125	86%	50%	18%	115	90%	70%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	_	_	_	17	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	102	73%	29%	11%	84	86%	56%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2	_	_	_	2		_	_
White	149	91%	55%	15%	154	94%	77%	20%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••			•	•••••
Small Group Totals	12	83%	42%	17%	19	100%	68%	11%
General-Education Students	223	90%	52%	16%	208	98%	79%	18%
Students with Disabilities	40	48%	3%	0%	49	65%	29%	0%
English Proficient	245	86%	47%	14%	240	93%	73%	15%
Limited English Proficient	18	44%	6%	6%	17	82%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	152	77%	29%	7%	132	90%	58%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	111	92%	66%	23%	125	94%	82%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	263	83%	44%	14%	257	92%	70%	14%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scori						ring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	4	_	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2				4			_		

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	268	81%	46%	2%	274	100%	65%	3%
Female	138	86%	49%	2%	150	100%	71%	1%
Male	130	75%	42%	2%	124	99%	58%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	-	_	_	14	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	83	64%	28%	0%	100	99%	48%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific I	slander 2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	161	89%	55%	4%	159	100%	77%	3%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•			••••••	
Small Group Totals	24	83%	42%	0%	15	100%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	217	90%	54%	3%	219	100%	77%	3%
Students with Disabilities	51	41%	8%	0%	55	98%	18%	0%
English Proficient	253	85%	48%	2%	267	100%	66%	3%
Limited English Proficient	15	13%	0%	0%	7	100%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	146	68%	29%	0%	137	99%	51%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	122	95%	66%	5%	137	100%	80%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	268	81%	46%	2%	274	100%	65%	3%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 S d	2008-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total					Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	scoring at level 3–4 – N/A	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	271	89%	46%	15%	278	95%	64%	10%
Female	139	94%	47%	12%	151	95%	64%	7%
Male	132	83%	44%	18%	127	94%	63%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	_	_	_	15	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	86	80%	27%	9%	103	90%	45%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islando	er 2	_	_	_	2		_	_
White	161	92%	58%	18%	158	97%	76%	13%
Multiracial	••••••			••••			•	•••••
Small Group Totals	24	96%	33%	13%	17	94%	65%	6%
General-Education Students	220	95%	55%	18%	222	98%	77%	13%
Students with Disabilities	51	63%	6%	0%	56	80%	13%	0%
English Proficient	253	91%	49%	16%	267	96%	66%	10%
Limited English Proficient	18	56%	0%	0%	11	64%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	149	83%	30%	7%	137	91%	49%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	122	95%	66%	25%	141	99%	78%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	271	89%	46%	15%	278	95%	64%	10%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 S	08-09 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	4	-	_	-		

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r	2008-09	School Yea	r		
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	274	77%	34%	4%	261	100%	70%	2%
Female	151	81%	34%	3%	129	100%	68%	3%
Male	123	72%	33%	7%	132	99%	73%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	12	-	_	_	15	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	98	65%	22%	3%	76	99%	53%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islar	nder 1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
White	163	85%	41%	6%	168	100%	79%	2%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••			••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	13	77%	23%	0%	17	100%	65%	6%
General-Education Students	215	89%	42%	6%	203	100%	83%	3%
Students with Disabilities	59	36%	3%	0%	58	98%	26%	0%
English Proficient	269	79%	34%	4%	256	100%	71%	2%
Limited English Proficient	5	0%	0%	0%	5	80%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	145	66%	21%	2%	116	99%	62%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	129	91%	48%	7%	145	100%	77%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	274	77%	34%	4%	261	100%	70%	2%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year			2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	6	6	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	ichool Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	274	84%	48%	13%	268	99%	82%	13%		
Female	151	85%	49%	9%	134	97%	80%	13%		
Male	123	83%	47%	18%	134	100%	84%	13%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	12	_	_	_	15	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	98	76%	34%	9%	82	98%	67%	6%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island	er 1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
White	163	90%	56%	15%	169	99%	89%	17%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••		••••	••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	13	77%	54%	15%	17	94%	76%	12%		
General-Education Students	215	94%	60%	17%	209	100%	93%	16%		
Students with Disabilities	59	47%	3%	0%	59	93%	42%	2%		
English Proficient	267	85%	49%	13%	259	98%	84%	14%		
Limited English Proficient	7	43%	14%	14%	9	100%	22%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	144	75%	28%	7%	118	97%	71%	7%		
Not Disadvantaged	130	95%	70%	20%	150	99%	90%	18%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	274	84%	48%	13%	268	99%	82%	13%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 S	og School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	5	5	0	

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	262	85%	34%	2%	267	97%	53%	3%			
Female	133	89%	41%	2%	118	98%	60%	4%			
Male	129	81%	28%	2%	149	96%	47%	3%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	15	_	_	_	12	-	_	-			
Hispanic or Latino	79	76%	16%	1%	85	93%	26%	0%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2		_	_	3	_	_	_			
White	166	92%	43%	2%	167	99%	68%	5%			
Multiracial			•••••	•			•••••				
Small Group Totals	17	71%	35%	0%	15	100%	40%	0%			
General-Education Students	202	95%	44%	2%	201	100%	67%	4%			
Students with Disabilities	60	55%	3%	0%	66	89%	9%	0%			
English Proficient	257	87%	35%	2%	257	98%	55%	4%			
Limited English Proficient	5	20%	0%	0%	10	80%	0%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	133	76%	26%	1%	108	94%	36%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	129	95%	43%	2%	159	99%	64%	6%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	262	85%	34%	2%	267	97%	53%	3%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10	School Year		•	2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

February 5, 2011

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2009-10	School Yea	r		2008-09	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	258	86%	28%	3%	270	94%	67%	10%
Female	130	89%	25%	1%	122	97%	66%	8%
Male	128	84%	30%	6%	148	93%	68%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	_	_	_	12	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	80	81%	16%	3%	86	91%	48%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	er 2	_	_	_	4		_	_
White	161	91%	32%	4%	168	96%	78%	15%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••	•			•	•••••
Small Group Totals	17	71%	35%	0%	16	94%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	198	92%	30%	5%	206	100%	78%	13%
Students with Disabilities	60	67%	20%	0%	64	78%	30%	0%
English Proficient	252	88%	28%	4%	259	95%	69%	10%
Limited English Proficient	6	33%	0%	0%	11	73%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	81%	22%	2%	108	92%	51%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	126	92%	33%	5%	162	96%	77%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	258	86%	28%	3%	270	94%	67%	10%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* These ranges are for 2009–10 data only. Ranges for the 2008–09 data are available in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2009-10 S	chool Year			2008-09 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	4	3	_	_			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	0	0	4	3			_		

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2009-10 S	chool Yea	r		2008-09 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	251	93%	68%	24%	268	95%	68%	23%
Female	127	93%	69%	24%	119	94%	65%	18%
Male	124	94%	67%	24%	149	95%	71%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	-	_	_	12	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	75	88%	52%	12%	86	91%	42%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 2	-	- -	-	4	-		_
White	160	96%	76%	30%	166	97%	83%	34%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	16	88%	63%	19%	16	94%	63%	6%
General-Education Students	197	97%	78%	30%	201	100%	82%	31%
Students with Disabilities	54	78%	31%	2%	67	79%	27%	0%
English Proficient	247	_	_	-	257	96%	71%	24%
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	11	64%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	124	90%	58%	17%	106	93%	51%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	127	97%	78%	31%	162	96%	80%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	251	93%	68%	24%	268	95%	68%	23%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2009–10 School Year				2008-09 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	E	5	_	3	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	3			3	_	_	
Regents Science	0				0			

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Cohor	2005 Cohort**						
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	319	67%	64%	21%	284	69%	63%	19%
Female	163	74%	71%	29%	123	69%	63%	23%
Male	156	60%	57%	12%	161	68%	64%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	_	_	-	8	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	94	47%	44%	6%	75	41%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
White	209	78%	75%	27%	199	81%	77%	28%
Multiracial	***************************************	***************************************	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	16	50%	50%	19%	10	30%	20%	0%
General-Education Students	258	77%	76%	26%	241	77%	72%	23%
Students with Disabilities	61	26%	15%	0%	43	21%	14%	0%
English Proficient	313	68%	65%	21%	277	70%	65%	20%
Limited English Proficient	6	33%	33%	0%	7	0%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	56%	52%	7%	72	51%	44%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	215	73%	70%	27%	212	75%	70%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	319	67%	64%	21%	284	69%	63%	19%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2005} cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.

District AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 27-01-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2006 Cohor	2005 Cohort**						
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	319	68%	63%	20%	284	71%	68%	21%
Female	163	78%	73%	24%	123	72%	68%	21%
Male	156	58%	53%	16%	161	70%	68%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	_	_	-	8	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	94	47%	38%	4%	75	47%	41%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	2	_		- -
White	209	80%	76%	28%	199	82%	80%	28%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		•••••	••••••	•••••••
Small Group Totals	16	44%	44%	13%	10	40%	30%	10%
General-Education Students	258	78%	75%	25%	241	80%	77%	24%
Students with Disabilities	61	26%	11%	0%	43	19%	16%	0%
English Proficient	313	69%	64%	20%	277	73%	69%	21%
Limited English Proficient	6	33%	33%	0%	7	14%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	57%	51%	7%	72	58%	56%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	215	74%	69%	27%	212	75%	72%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	319	68%	63%	20%	284	71%	68%	21%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2005} cohort data are those reported in the 2008–09 Accountability and Overview Report.