

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School ANDOVER SCHOOL District ANDOVER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 02-06-01-04-0001 Principal BRIAN GERBRACHT Telephone (607) 478-8491 Grades PK-12

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	19	19	14
Kindergarten	35	28	30
Grade 1	25	30	32
Grade 2	30	28	31
Grade 3	29	30	28
Grade 4	26	28	29
Grade 5	35	25	28
Grade 6	27	36	26
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	34	30	37
Grade 8	29	28	30
Grade 9	28	36	26
Grade 10	37	28	31
Grade 11	25	42	29
Grade 12	32	35	42
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	392	404	399

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	14	14	13
Grade 8			
English	14	14	14
Mathematics	14	14	14
Science	14	14	15
Social Studies	14	14	15
Grade 10			
English	19	19	18
Mathematics	10	10	14
Science	18	18	16
Social Studies	19	19	23

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007–08		2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	124	32%	119	29%	67	17%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	54	14%	46	11%	65	16%	
Student Stability*		96%		97%		93%	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Black or African American	2	1%	3	1%	3	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	3	1%	2	0%	2	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
White	386	98%	399	99%	394	99%	
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate	96% 96%			95%			
Student Suspensions	20	5%	46	12%	23	6%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	43	44	43
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	21%	11%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	14%	18%	16%
Total Number of Core Classes	131	134	137
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	191	206	209
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	3%	3%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	17%	36%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	14%	7%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007–08 PI + (200 – the 2007–08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

2 School Accountability

School ANDOVER SCHOOL School ID 02-06-01-04-0001

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20)	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	 	v	 ✓ 	 Image: A set of the set of the	~
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American				_	_	
Hispanic or Latino	-	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					••••••	
White	V	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	~	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•••••••••	••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	_	_		_	_	
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 3 of 3	🖌 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³ Performance O		nce Objectivo	25
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹			Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (172:169)	V	V	100%	V	176	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino ^(2:2)	_	_	-	–	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (170:167)	<	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 	177	136		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(19:18)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• •••••	•••••	••••		••• •••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (94:91)	~	V	100%	~	160	134		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance of the second students.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participatio		on ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index		2008–09	2009-10
All Students (172:166)	~	~	100%	v	189	111		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)						••••		••••
Hispanic or Latino ^(2:2)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••• •••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	189	111	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)			••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(18:17)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• ••••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (94:90)	~	V	100%	~	182	109		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

for This Subject (2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
-		Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	Target 2009–10
All Students (54:53)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	183	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (54:53)		Oualified	 ✓ 	100%	 	183	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••		••••		••••	•••••	•••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (5:5)		-	_	_	-	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (26:25)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
		NOTES							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP		followed b students w ² Groups wit the particij	y the count of c ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion.	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participatior	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group	est administration p nts (used for Perfori s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–0	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me 9, the enrol	y calculation et lment

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index		2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (36:34)	~	_	-	V	185	154			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							••••		
White (35:33)	~	_	-	 ✓ 	185	154	••••	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••••	••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (1:2)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• •••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (9:8)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

January 29, 2010

1

X

SH

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status			Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (36:34)	~	_	-	V	197	149		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)						•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							••••	
White (35:33)	<	_	-	 ✓ 	197	149	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	•••••	••••		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••• ••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (1:2)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••••	•••••	•• •••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

SH

2 School Accountability

School ANDOVER SCHOOL School ID 02-06-01-04-0001

District ANDOVER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/ .
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	 	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate ¹	Standard	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (64)	~	~	88%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (0) Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (64)		<	88%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • •			••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (5)		_	_	_			
Limited English Proficient ² (0)				••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged (18)		_	-	-			
Final AYP							
Determination	1	of 1					
NOTES							

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	74%		27
Grade 4	81%		27
Grade 5	79%		28
Grade 6	88%		26
Grade 7	83%		■ 36
Grade 8	64%		28
Mathematics			
Grade 3	96%		27
Grade 4	92%		2 6
Grade 5	96%		28
Grade 6	81%		26
Grade 7	92%		3 6
Grade 8	86%		29
Science			
Grade 4	96%		26
Grade 8	79%		28
	-	of students that r above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	82%	ł	38
Mathematics	95%		38

District ANDOVER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarten through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School	L		Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 663	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780				
2008 Mean Score: 666	100%	93% 90%	74%		97% 95%	78% 739	%	
2008-092007-08				4% 10%			9% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		25 27	20 19	1 3				
Poculto by		2008–09 Sc	hool Year		2007-08 S	2007–08 School Year		
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4	
All Students		27	93% 74	% 4%	30	90%	63% 10%	

12	100%	83%	0%	10	90%	80%	20%
15	87%	67%	7%	20	90%	55%	5%
1	-	-	-				
26		-	-	30	90%	63%	10%
27	93%	74%	4%				
24	-	-	-	28	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
27	93%	74%	4%	30	90%	63%	10%
•••••						•••••	••••••
18	89%	67%	6%	15	87%	47%	7%
9	100%	89%	0%	15	93%	80%	13%
27	93%	74%	4%	30	90%	63%	10%
	15 1 26 27 24 3 27 18 9	15 87% 1 - 26 - 27 93% 24 - 3 - 27 93% 18 89% 9 100%	15 87% 67% 1 - - 26 - - 27 93% 74% 24 - - 3 - - 27 93% 74% 24 - - 3 - - 18 89% 67% 9 100% 89%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Scl	r Schools ge scoring at level(s): 3-4 4				
		100%100% 96% 93% 100%100% 96% 93% 100%100% 96% 93% 100%100% 96% 93% 100%100% 96% 93% 100%100% 96% 93% 100%10% 96% 93% 100%10% 96% 93% 100%10% 15% 15% 27 30 26 28 4 2008-09 School Year 10% 10% Total Percentage scoring at lew				Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 687	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770						
2008 Mean Score: 690	100%	100%100%	96% g	93%		99% 99%	96% 9:	1%			
2008-092007-08				1	33% 5%			24	% 22%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	27 30	26	28	4 10						
Boculto by	2008–09 S	chool Yea	ır		2007-08	School Yea	ar				
Results by		Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	: level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		27	100%	96%	15%	30	100%	93%	33%		
Female		12	100%	92%	25%	10	100%	100%	40%		
Male		15	100%	100%	7%	20	100%	90%	30%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino					-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander											
White		26	-	-	_	30	100%	93%	33%		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		27	100%	96%	15%						
General-Education Students		24	_	_	-	28	-	_	_		
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		

Students with Disabilities	5				2			
English Proficient	27	100%	96%	15%	30	100%	93%	33%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	94%	11%	15	100%	93%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	100%	22%	15	100%	93%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	27	100%	96%	15%	30	100%	93%	33%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 S e	chool Year		
	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
2008 Mean Score: 664	100%	96% 85%	81% 70%		96% 94%	80% 73%			
2008-09 2007-08				11% 15%			6% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		26 23	22 19	3 4					

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 S e	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	27	96%	81%	11%	27	85%	70%	15%
Female	10	100%	80%	20%	14	86%	79%	21%
Male	17	94%	82%	6%	13	85%	62%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Pacific Islander								
White	27	96%	81%	11%	26	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					27	85%	70%	15%
General-Education Students	24	-	-	-	22	95%	82%	18%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	5	40%	20%	0%
English Proficient	27	96%	81%	11%	27	85%	70%	15%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	13	92%	62%	15%	16	75%	56%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	100%	7%	11	100%	91%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	27	96%	81%	11%	27	85%	70%	15%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scl	Similar Schools			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 687	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
2008 Mean Score: 681	100%	96% 100%	92% 89%		97% 97%	88% 84%			
2007-08				23% 25%			28% 21%		
Number of Tested Students:		25 28	24 25	6 7					
Bocults by		2008–09 Scl	hool Year		2007-08	School Year			
Results by	_	Total	Percentage scori	5	Total	5	oring at level(s):		

neoutio by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	26	96%	92%	23%	28	100%	89%	25%
Female	10	90%	90%	30%	15	100%	93%	33%
Male	16	100%	94%	19%	13	100%	85%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino			••••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				•••••			•••••	
White	26	96%	92%	23%	27	-	-	-
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••	28	100%	89%	25%
General-Education Students	24	-	-	-	23	100%	96%	30%
Students with Disabilities	2	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	0%
English Proficient	26	96%	92%	23%	28	100%	89%	25%
Limited English Proficient		••••		•••••		••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	83%	25%	17	100%	82%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	100%	21%	11	100%	100%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	26	96%	92%	23%	28	100%	89%	25%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 S e	007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number Scoring at tevet(s).			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

100%

100%

100%

.

82%

100%

89%

18%

82%

43%

School ANDOVER SCHOOL School ID 02-06-01-04-0001

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	nools				
		Percentage sc	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 85	Range:	45-100	65-10	30 a	85-100						
2008 Mean Score: 82	100%	96% 100%	96% 8		58%	99% 99%	93% 93		[%] 55%		
 2008-09 2007-08 					43%	н.					
Number of Tested Students:		25 28	25	25	15 12						
Results by		2008–09 Sc	hool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	7-08 School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		26	96%	96%	58%	28	100%	89%	43%		
Female		10	90%	90%	50%	15	100%	80%	53%		
Male		16	100%	100%	63%	13	100%	100%	31%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino						1	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander											
White		26	96%	96%	58%	27	-	-	-		
Multiracial			•••••		••••••		••••••••••	••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	28	100%	89%	43%		
General-Education Students		24	-	-	-	23	100%	91%	48%		
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	20%		
English Proficient		26	96%	96%	58%	28	100%	89%	43%		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••		••••	••••••	••••		•••••		

Limited English Proficient					
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	92%	50%	17
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	100%	64%	11
Migrant					
Not Migrant	26	96%	96%	58%	28

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Number scoring at level(s): Tested Total 2-4 Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0	Other	2008-09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	L			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 685	Range:	608-795	650-	795 7	11-795				
2008 Mean Score: 667	100%	100%100%	79%	38%		99% 99%	86% 81	.%	
 2008-09 2007-08 				2	1% 4%			119	⁶ 3%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	28 25	22	22	6 1				
Pocults by		2008–09 So	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		28	100%	79 %	21%	25	100%	88%	4%
Female		14	100%	86%	29%	15	100%	80%	7%
Male		14	100%	71%	14%	10	100%	100%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1	_		_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White		27			_	25	100%		4%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		28	100%	79%	21%				
General-Education Students		23	100%	87%	26%	22	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities		5	100%	40%	0%	3	-	-	-
English Proficient		28	100%	79%	21%	25	100%		4%
imited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		18	100%	67%	17%	13	100%	77%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		10	100%	100%	30%	12	100%	100%	8%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		28	100%	79%	21%	25	100%	88%	4%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 Sc	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	coring at leve	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 693	Range:	619-780	650-	780 6	99-780				
2008 Mean Score: 675	100%	100% _{96%}	96%	72%		97% 97%	86% 85	%	
2008–09 2007–08				3	6% 20%	н.		24	% 23%
Number of Tested Students:		28 24	27	18	10 5				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Grou	р	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	-	28	100%	96%	36%	25	96%	72%	20%
Female		14	100%	100%	43%	15	93%	67%	13%
Male		14	100%	93%	29%	10	100%	80%	30%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her								
White		27	-	-	-	25	96%	72%	20%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				••••••
Small Group Totals		28	100%	96%	36%				
General-Education Students		23	100%	100%	43%	22	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		5	100%	80%	0%	3	-	-	-
English Proficient		28	100%	96%	36%	25	96%	72%	20%
Limited English Proficient			1000/	0.10/	2001	4.2	6004	E 40/	<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged		18	100%	94%	28%	13	92%	54%	8%
Not Disadvantaged		10	100%	100%	50%	12	100%	92%	33%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		28	100%	96%	36%	25	96%	72%	20%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

					-	-	-		
		This Schoo				Similar Sch			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	598-785	650-	785 69	96-785*				
2008 Mean Score: 666	100%	100%100%	88%	78%		100% 99%	82% 72	%	
2008-09 2007-08				0'	8%			7%	⁶ 4%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	26 36	23	28 () 3				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		26	100%	88%	0%	36	100%	78 %	8 %
Female		15	100%	87%	0%	24	100%	79%	13%
Male		11	100%	91%	0%	12	100%	75%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American									•••••
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r								
Pacific Islander									
White		26	100%	88%	0%		100%	78%	
Multiracial							•••••		•••••
Small Group Totals		23				33	_		_
General-Education Students		· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				••••••			
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient		26	100%	88%	0%		100%	78%	
Charles at Easter Parks Base Parks and									
									0%
Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged		13 13	100%	77% 100%	0% 0%	19 17	100%		

NOTES

Not Migrant

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

26

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008–09 only. The 2007–08 range is 705–785.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

0%

88%

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

8%

78%

100%

36

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780				
2008 Mean Score: 674	100%	96% 97%	_{81%} 8	4%		97% 96%	86% 83	%	
2008-092007-08				1	5% ^{22%}		н	21	% 22%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	25 36	21	31	4 8	_			
Results by		2008–09 S o	hool Yea	r		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		26	96%	81%	15%	37	97 %	84%	22%
Female		15	93%	73%	20%	25	100%	88%	24%
Male		11	100%	91%	9%	12	92%	75%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er								
Pacific Islander									
White		26	96%	81%	15%	37	97%	84%	22%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		23	-	-	-	34	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient		26	96%	81%	15%	37	97%	84%	22%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		13	92%	69%	0%	20	95%	70%	5%
Not Disadvantaged		13	100%	92%	31%	17	100%	100%	41%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		26	96%	81%	15%	37	97%	84%	22%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 30	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	ring at level	s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

					-	-	-		
		This Schoo	l			Similar Scl	hools		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	600-790	650-7	790	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 656	100%	100%100%	83% 7	3%		100% 99%	85% 72	2%	
 2008-09 2007-08 					8% 0%			7%	2%
Number of Tested Students:		36 30	30 2	22	3 0				
Posults by		2008-09 S e	chool Year	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4 85% 72% 7% 2 Pool Year ercentage scoring at leve 2-4 3-4 100% 73% (100% 69% 100% 76%	
 All Students		36	100%	83%	8%	30	100%	73%	0%
Female		25	100%	88%	12%	13	100%	69%	0%
Male		11	100%	73%	0%	17	100%	76%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White			100%	83%	8%	30	100%	73%	0%
Multiracial				••••••					
Small Group Totals		34				26			
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities		2	_	-	-	4	-	-	-

36	100%	83%	8%	30	100%	73%	0%
19	100%	74%	0%	14	100%	57%	0%
17	100%	94%	18%	16	100%	88%	0%
36	100%	83%	8%	30	100%	73%	0%
	19 17	19 100% 17 100%	19 100% 74% 17 100% 94%	19 100% 74% 0% 17 100% 94% 18%	19 100% 74% 0% 14 17 100% 94% 18% 16	19 100% 74% 0% 14 100% 17 100% 94% 18% 16 100%	19 100% 74% 0% 14 100% 57% 17 100% 94% 18% 16 100% 88%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 S e			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 671	Range:	611-800	650-	800 6	93-800				
2008 Mean Score: 669	100%	100% _{93%}	92% e	33%		99% 97%	92% 84	.%	
2008-092007-08				1	24%			259	% 25%
Number of Tested Students:		36 27	33	24	5 7				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		36	100%	92%	14%	29	93%	83%	24%
Female		26	100%	88%	19%	12	83%	67%	8%
Male		10	100%	100%	0%	17	100%	94%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Nati Black or African American Hispanic or Latino	ve								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r								
Pacific Islander White		36	100%	92%	14%				24%
Multiracial			10070						2470
Small Group Totals		••••••	••••••		•••••		•••••••••••••••		•••••
General-Education Students		34	-	_	-	25	-	_	_
Students with Disabilities		2	-			4			
English Proficient		36	100%	92%	14%	29	93%	83%	24%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••						•••••
Economically Disadvantaged		19	100%	89%	0%	13	85%	69%	8%
Not Disadvantaged		17	100%	94%	29%	16	100%	94%	38%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		36	100%	92%	14%	29	93%	83%	24%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Number scoring at level(s): Tested Total 2-4 Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 New York State Alternate Assessment Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s):	Other	2008–09 Sc	chool Year			2007–08 S o	chool Year		
2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	ring at level(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent		0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 660	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2008 Mean Score: 648	100%	96% 86%	64% 54%		99% 95%	72% 56%	
2008-09 2007-08				4% 4%			4% 5%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	27 24	18 15	1 1			

Doculto by	2008–09	School Yea	r		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	rcentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4					
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	5	5					
All Students	28	96%	64%	4%	28	86%	54%	4%				
Female	12	92%	58%	8%	10	80%	50%	0%				
Male	16	100%	69%	0%	18	89%	56%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American					1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino							••••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							••••••					
Pacific Islander												
White	28	96%	64%	4%	27	-	-	-				
Multiracial												
Small Group Totals					28	86%	54%	4%				
General-Education Students	25	-	-	-	22	100%	64%	5%				
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	–	6	33%	17%	0%				
English Proficient	28	96%	64%	4%	28	86%	54%	4%				
Limited English Proficient			•••••••••			•••••••••••••••	•••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	13	92%	38%	8%	14	79%	64%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	15	100%	87%	0%	14	93%	43%	7%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	28	96%	64%	4%	28	86%	54%	4%				
NOTES												

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 S e	chool Yeai	r	
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol			Similar Sch			
		Percentage	scoring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 671	Range:	616-775	650-	775 7	01-775				
2008 Mean Score: 650	100%	97% 85%	86%			97% 95%	85%	1%	
2008–09 2007–08				48%	^{0%} 4%			139	% 11% ■
Number of Tested Students:		28 23	25	13	3 1				
Results by		2008–09 School Year					School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	le scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		29	97%	86%	10%	27	85%	48 %	4%
Female		13	92%	69%	0%	9	78%	22%	0%
Male		16	100%	100%	19%	18	89%	61%	6%
American Indian or Alaska N	ative								
Black or African American						1			
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her								
Pacific Islander									
White		29	97%	86%	10%	26			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		26				27	85%	48%	4%
General-Education Students							100%	59%	5%
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-	5	20%	0%	0%
English Proficient		29	97%	86%	10%	27	85%	48%	4%
Limited English Proficient							0.000		
Economically Disadvantaged		14	93%	71%	0%	15	80%	33%	0%
Not Dicadvantaged		15	1000/	1000/	2004	12	0.204	670/	00/

Migrant . 97% 27 Not Migrant 29 86% 10% 85% 48% 4%

100%

20%

12

92%

100%

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

15

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 S e	07–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0					

8%

67%

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

This Schoo	ol 👘			Similar Sch					
Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):			
2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
96% 96%				98% 99%	050/ 05	o.(
	79%	8%			85% 85	%			
	J J	070		_					
		32				299	<mark>%</mark> 35%		
			20%						
27 24	22	17	9 5						
2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r			
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
28	96%	79 %	32%	25	96%	68%	20%		
13	92%	62%	15%	8	88%	25%	13%		
15	100%	93%	47%	17	100%	88%	24%		
				1	-	-	-		
		•••••	•••••				•••••		
28	96%	79%	32%	24	-	_			
				25	96%	68%	20%		
25	-	-	-	21	-	-	-		
3	-		-	4	-	-	-		
28	96%	79%	32%	25	96%	68%	20%		
••••••		•••••	•••••		•••••		•••••		
14	93%	64%	14%	13	92%	62%	15%		
14	100%	93%	50%	12	100%	75%	25%		
	96%	 79%		25	96%	68%			
	Percentage s 2-4 96% 96% 27 24 27 24 2008-09 S Total Tested 13 15 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 14 14 14	2-4 $3-496%$ $96%79%$ $6%79%$ $6%79%$ $6%79%$ $6%79%$ $6%79%$ $6%79%$ $6%79%$ $6%227$ 24 $222008-09$ School Year 2-4 28 $96%13$ $92%15$ $100%28$ $96%13$ $92%15$ $100%28$ $96%13$ $92%15$ $100%28$ $96%13$ $92%15$ $100%14$ $100%$	Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 96% 96% 79% 68% 96% 96% 79% 68% 27 24 22 17 2008-09 School Year Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 28 96% 79% 13 92% 62% 15 100% 93% 28 96% 79% 28 96% 79% 28 96% 79% 28 96% 79% 28 96% 79% 28 96% 79% 28 96% 79% 14 93% 64% 14 93% 64%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 96% 96% 79% 68% 32% 20% 27 24 22 17 9 5 Zoo8-og School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 28 96% 79% 32% 13 92% 62% 15% 15 100% 93% 47% 28 96% 79% 32% 28 96% 79% 32% 28 96% 79% 32% 28 96% 79% 32% 28 96% 79% 32% 28 96% 79% 32% 28 96% 79% 32% 28 96% 79% 32% 28 96% 79% 32% 30 - - - 28 96% 79% 32% 14 93% 64%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage score $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ 96% 96% 79% 32% 20% 96% 96% 79% 32% 20% 27 24 22 17 9 5 2008-09 School Year 2007-08 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 28 96% 79% 32% 25 13 92% 62% 15% 8 15 100% 93% 47% 17 1 1 1 1 1 28 96% 79% 32% 24 28 96% 79% 32% 24 28 96% 79% 32% 24 1 17 1 1 1 29 - - - 21 3 - - - 4 28 96% 79%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 96% 96% 79% 68% 98% 99% 85% 85 27 24 22 17 9 5 98% 99% 85% 85 2008-09 School Year 2007-08 School Year 2007-08 School Year Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Percentage 2-4 2-4 28 96% 79% 32% 25 96% 96% 24 - 28 96% 79% 32% 24 - - - 25 96% 28 96% 79% 32% 24 -	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 96% 96% 79% 68% 98% 99% 85% 85% 27 24 22 17 9 5 98% 99% 85% 85% 2008-0g School Year 2007-08 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 13 92% 62% 15% 8 88% 25% 15 100% 93% 47% 17 100% 88% 25 - - - 21 - - 28 96% 79% 32% 24 - - 28 96% 79% 32% 24 - - 28 96% 79% 32% 25 96% <t< td=""></t<>		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 S	chool Yeaı	r	
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			

3 Overview of School Performance

School ANDOVER SCHOOL School ID 02-06-01-04-0001

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Scho	ols	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage so	coring at level	s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	95% 96%	82%	33% 16%	85% 84%	81% 79%	31% 29%

Results by	2005 Cohor	t			2004 Coho r	t**		
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	38	95%	82%	16%	27	96%	89%	33%
Female	18	94%	83%	22%	16	94%	81%	31%
Male	20	95%	80%	10%	11	100%	100%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••		•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	37	-	-	-	27	96%	89%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	38	95%	82%	16%				
General-Education Students	35	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
English Proficient	38	95%	82%	16%	27	96%	89%	33%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	•••••		••••••	•••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	78%	11%	6	100%	100%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	29	93%	83%	17%	21	95%	86%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	95%	82%	16%	27	96%	89%	33%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School ANDOVER SCHOOL School ID 02-06-01-04-0001

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 	100%	95% 96%	95% 96%	63% 32%	89% 88%	85% 85%	32% 32%		

Results by	2005 Coho r	2004 Cohort**						
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	38	95%	95%	32%	27	96%	96%	63%
Female	18	100%	100%	33%	16	94%	94%	63%
Male	20	90%	90%	30%	11	100%	100%	64%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	–	-	-	••••••			
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	•••••		••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	37	-	-	–	27	96%	96%	63%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••	••••••			
Small Group Totals	38	95%	95%	32%	••••••	••••••		•••••
General-Education Students	35	-	_	-	25	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	3	_	-	–	2	–	-	–
English Proficient	38	95%	95%	32%	27	96%	96%	63%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	100%	0%	6	100%	100%	83%
Not Disadvantaged	29	93%	93%	41%	21	95%	95%	57%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	95%	95%	32%	27	96%	96%	63%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohoi	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment										

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.