

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School ADDISON HIGH SCHOOL District ADDISON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 57-01-01-04-0002 Principal WILLIAM HOWE Telephone (607) 359-2241 Grades 7-12, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	81	100	95
Grade 8	89	70	93
Grade 9	101	93	87
Grade 10	111	98	94
Grade 11	98	104	105
Grade 12	65	93	108
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	3
Total K–12	545	558	585

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	12	13	13
Mathematics	14	14	14
Science	15	18	18
Social Studies	15	15	15
Grade 10			
English	19	18	18
Mathematics	21	17	17
Science	17		
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	200	5-06	200	2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	189	35%	222	40%	165	28%
Reduced-Price Lunch	91	17%	85	15%	79	14%
Student Stability*		99%		94%		96%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	1%	4	1%	3	1%
Black or African American	5	1%	3	1%	1	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	1%	5	1%	8	1%
White	529	97%	545	98%	572	98%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%	94%			95%	
Student Suspensions	49	9%	54	10%	38	7%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	48	45	49
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	7%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	15%	13%	12%
Total Number of Core Classes	188	165	161
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	241	204	212
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	3%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	13%	13%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	11%	7%

## **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	8	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information


Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 - the 2006-07 PL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years. School ADDISON HIGH SCHOOL

School ID 57-01-01-04-0002

## Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

-	<b>deral Title I Status</b> plies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)		<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
<b>^</b>	<b>School in Good Standing</b> A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been iden Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a S		as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for ol Under Registration Review.
	<b>School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.		<b>School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1</b> ) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
	<b>School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
	<b>School in Corrective Action</b> A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)</b> A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
	<b>School Planning for Restructuring</b> A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
	School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	••••••	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
•	<b>School Restructuring (Year 2 and above)</b> A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School ADDISON HIGH SCHOOL School ID 57-01-01-04-0002

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2008–09)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Lev	vel				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing				
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	e 🔺 Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Receiv	ed Title I Part A Funding	 				
	2006-0	07	2007-08	2008–09				
	YES		YES	YES				

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	~	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native				-	-		
Black or African American	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_		_	-		
White	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	✓	<b>~</b>	••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<b>v</b>	✓	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1	



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A school that fails to make AYP in English language arts for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be School In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [102]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (185:177)	~	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	142	125			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(0:0)</sup>			••••			•••••	•••••••••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (182:174)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	141	125	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(42:38)	X	<b>V</b>	100%	X	87	117	97	98	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••• •••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (105:97)	<b>V</b>	~	100%	~	133	123	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 3 of 4								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

1

X

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

to Determine AYP Status

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (185:175)	V	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	153	94		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(0:0)</sup>			••••			•••••	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (182:172)	<b>~</b>	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	152	94	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)		••••••	••••		•••• •••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(41:37)	V	V	100%	~	105	86		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• •••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••• •••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (105:96)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	~	142	92		···· •·····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accountability calculations.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (91:87)	~	Qualified		100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	177	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0) Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (90:86)		Qualified	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	177	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••	•••••••••••	• •••••	••••		••••		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (20:19)		_	_	_	-	_	-		-
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (48:44)		Qualified	~	100%	~	164	100		
Final AYP Determination	1 0	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Tar X Did Not Make AYP ─ Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	-	followed b students w Groups wit the particij shown is th participatio Groups wit For school were comb	y the count of c ho were excuse h fewer than 4c pation criterion he sum of 2006- on rates over th h fewer than 3c s with fewer that ined to determ	ontinuously enroll ed from testing for ( o students enrolled . If the participatio -07 and 2007–08 e ose two years. o continuously enro an 30 continuously ine counts and per es is equal to or gre	ed tested stude medical reason during the test n rate of a grou nrollments and olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p ints (used for Perfor s are not included in administration peri p fell below 80 perc the percent tested dents are not requir l students in 2007-c es. Irmer LEP students	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2007-c is the weighte ed to meet the p8, data for 20	ccountability ent count. quired to me 8, the enrol ed average o e performan 06-07 and 2	y calculations et Iment f the ce criterion.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

_	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (101:97)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	171	155		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••					••••
Hispanis or Lating (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (96:93)	<	V	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	170	155	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••		•••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (8:11)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	• ••••••	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••	••••••••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged	V	-	-	<b>V</b>	166	149		
(37:35)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008–09
All Students (101:97)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	177	149		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Lating (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (96:93)	<	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	176	149		
Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••••	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (8:11)	_	_	_	-	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	• •••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>V</b>	-	-	<b>V</b>	160	143		
(37:35)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	State Standard	Progress Target		
All Students (92)	~	<b>~</b>	83%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		_	-	-			
Pacific Islander (3)							
White (88)		~	83%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	••••••						
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (11)		_	_	_			
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (40)		~	78%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1					

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School ADDISON HIGH SCHOOL School ID 57-01-01-04-0002

### Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 7	62%		94
Grade 8	27%		91
Mathematics			
Grade 7	64%		95
Grade 8	52%		90
Science			
Grade 8	78%		91

	Percentage of scored at or a	2004 Total Cohort		
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%	
English	76%		102	
Mathematics	79%		102	

#### District ADDISON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 47

All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scor	ng at level(s):		Percentage sc	scoring at level(s):         3-4       4         69%         55%         2%       4%	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 657	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790			
2007 Mean Score: 648 ■ 2007–08 2006–07	100%	98% 92%	62% 43%	1% 4%	98% 96%		2% 4%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	92 91	58 43	1 4	_		
Results hv		2007–08 <b>Sch</b> o	ool Year		2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year	

Results by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 \$	school Yea	r	4%           3%         4%           3%         4%				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	94	98%	<b>62</b> %	1%	99	92%	<b>43</b> %	4%				
Female	42	98%	64%	2%	46	91%	43%	4%				
Male	52	98%	60%	0%	53	92%	43%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	1	-	-	-								
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1											
Pacific Islander	_			-	1	_		-				
White	92	-	-	-	98	-	-	-				
Multiracial												
Small Group Totals	94	98%	62%	1%	99	92%	43%	4%				
General-Education Students	72	100%	78%	1%	81	95%	53%	5%				
Students with Disabilities	22	91%	9%	0%	18	78%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	94	98%	62%	1%	99	92%	43%	4%				
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••				••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	58	97%	55%	0%	50	90%	34%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	36	100%	72%	3%	49	94%	53%	6%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	94	98%	62%	1%	99	92%	43%	4%				

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006-07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			
-	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total					Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 659	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2007 Mean Score: 652	100%	96% 95%	64%		98% 95%	80%		
2007-08 2006-07				12% <sub>7%</sub>			21% 9%	
Number of Tested Students:		91 94	61 49	11 7				
	I	2007-08 Sch			2006 07 5	chool Year		

Poculte by	2007-08 9	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	95	96%	64%	12%	99	95%	<b>49</b> %	7%
Female	43	93%	53%	7%	46	100%	52%	4%
Male	52	98%	73%	15%	53	91%	47%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-			•••••	
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••			•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	•••••	•••••	4		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Pacific Islander	1	_		_	1	-		_
White	93	-	-	-	98	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	95	96%	64%	12%	99	95%	49%	7%
General-Education Students	73	100%	75%	14%	81	96%	57%	9%
Students with Disabilities	22	82%	27%	5%	18	89%	17%	0%
English Proficient	95	96%	64%	12%	99	95%	49%	7%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	59	93%	54%	12%	50	94%	42%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	36	100%	81%	11%	49	96%	57%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	95	96%	64%	12%	99	95%	49%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): Tested     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent     0     Image: Constant of the state	Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006-07 <b>S</b> o	chool Year		
New York State Alternate Assessment	-	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	53% 58%	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 638	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2007 Mean Score: 641	100%	92% 93%	39%		96% 95%	53% 58%	
2006-07			27%	0% 1%			5% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		84 66	25 28	0 1			
Results by			ool Year		2006-07 S	chool Year	

Doculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 5	school rea	centage scoring at level(s):					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	91	92%	27%	0%	71	93%	39%	1%				
Female	40	95%	33%	0%	34	97%	53%	0%				
Male	51	90%	24%	0%	37	89%	27%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American					1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1		••••••		1		••••••					
Pacific Islander	-	_		_	⊥ 			-				
White	90	-	-	-	69	-	-	-				
Multiracial												
Small Group Totals	91	92%	27%	0%	71	93%	39%	1%				
General-Education Students	71	99%	35%	0%	50	96%	54%	2%				
Students with Disabilities	20	70%	0%	0%	21	86%	5%	0%				
English Proficient	91	92%	27%	0%	71	93%	39%	1%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••				••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	47	87%	17%	0%	34	91%	26%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	44	98%	39%	0%	37	95%	51%	3%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	91	92%	27%	0%	71	93%	39%	1%				

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006-07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year	r		
-	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total N					Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	ools	4 57% 6% 5% ge scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 26% 3% 29% 3% 24% 3%	
		Percentage so	oring at leve	٤l(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 650	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 70	01-775				
2007 Mean Score: 634	100%	92%	52%			94% 92%	66% 57	7%	
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				6% 49	% 3%	н.		6%	5%
Number of Tested Students:		83 52	47 1	19 4	2				
Results by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		90	92%	52%	4%	72	72%	26%	3%
Female		40	95%	58%	5%	34	76%	29%	3%
Male		50	90%	48%	4%	38	68%	24%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e								
Black or African American						1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White		89	_	–	-	70		-	
Multiracial			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals		90	92%	52%	4%	72	72%	26%	3%
General-Education Students		71	94%	58%	6%	51	84%	37%	4%

General-Education Students	71	94%	58%	6%	51	84%	37%	4%
Students with Disabilities	19	84%	32%	0%	21	43%	0%	0%
English Proficient	90	92%	52%	4%	72	72%	26%	3%
Limited English Proficient	•••••		•••••				•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	46	91%	46%	2%	35	63%	11%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	44	93%	59%	7%	37	81%	41%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	90	92%	52%	4%	72	72%	26%	3%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	Similar Schools						
	Percentage s	coring at leve		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
	98% 100%				99% 98%	950/		
		78% 7	6%			85% 79	1%	
2007-08			33	3%			339	<sup>%</sup> 28%
2006-07				15%				
Number of Tested Students:	89 72	71	55 3	0 11	_			
Results by	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	91	<b>98</b> %	<b>78</b> %	33%	72	100%	76%	15%
-emale	39	100%	74%	31%	34	100%	71%	15%
Male	52	96%	81%	35%	38	100%	82%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1			
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ					_		
White	90	-			70	-	_	_
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	91	98%	78%	33%	72	100%	76%	15%
General-Education Students	71	100%	86%	39%	50	100%	78%	22%
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	50%	10%	22	100%	73%	0%
English Proficient	91	98%	78%	33%	72	100%	76%	15%
imited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	48	96%	67%	23%	35	100%	69%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	43	100%	91%	44%	37	100%	84%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	91	98%	78%	33%	72	100%	76%	15%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School ADDISON HIGH SCHOOL School ID 57-01-01-04-0002

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
1009 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	86% 84%	76% 77%	25% 28%	82% 82%	78% 77%	29% 30%		

<b>Results by</b>	2004 Cohor	t		2003 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	102	86%	76%	25%	92	84%	77%	28%
Female	49	90%	82%	29%	48	94%	90%	35%
Male	53	83%	72%	23%	44	73%	64%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	_				
Black or African American				••••••	1	–	-	–
Hispanic or Latino				••••••		•••••		••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	~	•••••	••••••	•••••
Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	98	-	-	-	88	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	102	86%	76%	25%	92	84%	77%	28%
General-Education Students	90	90%	81%	29%	81	89%	86%	32%
Students with Disabilities	12	58%	42%	0%	11	45%	9%	0%
English Proficient	102	86%	76%	25%	92	84%	77%	28%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		•••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	40	78%	68%	18%	40	78%	65%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	62	92%	82%	31%	52	88%	87%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	102	86%	76%	25%		•••••	•••••	•••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

2003 conort data are those reported in the 2000-or Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School ADDISON HIGH SCHOOL School ID 57-01-01-04-0002

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s)	):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	93% 84%	<sup>79%</sup> 71%	13% 10%	85% 85%	81% 81%	29% 27%		

Results by	2004 <b>Cohor</b>	t		2003 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	102	93%	79%	13%	92	84%	71%	10%
Female	49	96%	86%	12%	48	92%	77%	6%
Male	53	91%	74%	13%	44	75%	64%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	_	_	_				
Black or African American		••••••		•••••	1	–	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		••••••		••••••				••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	•••••		•••••	3	•••••		••••••
Pacific Islander	L	-		-	3			
White	98	-	-		88	-		
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	102	93%	79%	13%	92	84%	71%	10%
General-Education Students	90	97%	84%	14%	81	90%	80%	11%
Students with Disabilities	12	67%	42%	0%	11	36%	0%	0%
English Proficient	102	93%	79%	13%	92	84%	71%	10%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••		•••••		•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	40	85%	65%	5%	40	78%	58%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	62	98%	89%	18%	52	88%	81%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	102	93%	79%	13%		•••••	•••••	•••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.