

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2006 – 07 School APOLLO MIDDLE SCHOOL District GREECE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 26-05-01-06-0022 Principal LINDA PICKERING Telephone (585) 966-5200 Grades 6-8

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	332	272	283
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	335	343	283
Grade 8	310	338	349
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	977	953	915

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07
Common Branch	25		
Grade 8			
English	22	24	20
Mathematics	21	23	20
Science	21	25	21
Social Studies	22	24	20
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	4-05	2005-06		2006–07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	201	21%	244	26%	181	20%
Reduced-Price Lunch	134	14%	117	12%	199	22%
Student Stability*		90%		92%		95%
Limited English Proficient	22	2%	16	2%	23	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	1%	7	1%	5	1%
Black or African American	88	9%	89	9%	104	11%
Hispanic or Latino	61	6%	51	5%	66	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	2%	21	2%	24	3%
White	799	82%	785	82%	716	78%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%

\* Not available at the district level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 school years.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	78	7%	125	13%	124	13%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total Number of Teachers	80	72	72
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	5%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	16%	18%	11%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	6%	4%	3%
Total Number of Core Classes*	N/A	241	241
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	N/A	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	409	307	316
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	6%	2%	0%

\* Data for 2004–05 were not weighted, so are not shown.

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	11%	16%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	21%	21%	11%

### **Staff Counts**

	2004–05	2005-06	2006–07
Total Other Professional Staff	6	10	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	3
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year that were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2006–07, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2006–07 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2003 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2002 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2002 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2006 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2003 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2003-04 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2003-04 school year, who were enrolled on October 4, 2006 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2007, are not included in the 2003 school accountability cohort. The 2003 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2006–07 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2005-06 PL + (200 – the 2005–06 PL + 0.10

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2006–07.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2006–07, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years. School APOLLO MIDDLE SCHOOL

School ID 26-05-01-06-0022

### Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status Applies to all New York State schools receiving T	itle I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standin Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Acad	-	ed as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for ool Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same measure for two consecutive years while red is considered a School in Need of Improvem following year.	ceiving Title I funds	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) th AYP on the accountability measure for which is considered a School in Need of Improvem following year, if it continues to receive Title	n it was identified ent (Year 2) for the	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) th AYP on the accountability measure for which considered a School in Corrective Action for if it continues to receive Title I funds.	n it was identified is	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not accountability measure for which it was ider a School Planning for Restructuring for the f continues to receive Title I funds.	ntified is considered	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that do AYP on the accountability measure for which considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for if it continues to receive Title I funds.	n it was identified is	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) th AYP on the accountability measure for which is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 following year if it continues to receive Title	n it was identified and above) for the	

following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

#### **School Accountability** 2


School APOLLO MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 26-05-01-06-0022

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)					
Status (2007–08)	Elementary	y/Middle Level	Secondary Level			
	ELA	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)	ELA			
Math	Math 🗧	Good Standing	Math	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
	Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Title I Part A Funding	Years the	School Received Title I	Part A Funding			
	2005-06	2006-0	7	2007-08		
	NO	NO		NO		

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-					
Black or African American	~	~	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••		
White	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>					
Limited English Proficient	–	–		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1				



### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	•	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2007-08, the school will be Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) in 2008-09. If this school makes AYP in 2007-08, the school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [122]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (914:876)	<b>v</b>	~	99%	<b>v</b>	156	118			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (107:99)	~	~	98%	~	140	112			
Hispanic or Latino (64:62)	✓	~	98%	<b>~</b>	145	110	•••••••••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (25:23)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (713:687)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>V</b>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	159	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(104:97)	✓ SH	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>✓</b> SH	93	112	88	104	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(23:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (381:359)	~	~	99%	~	147	116		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Status	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2006-07	2007-08	
All Students (914:876)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<u>/</u>	99%	~	154	82			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:4)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-	
Black or African American (102:94)	~	~	98%	~	136	76	••••	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (63:61)	<b>~</b>	~	98%	~	133	74	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:23)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••••••	-	
White (720:694)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	157	82	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	••••		••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(222:93)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	97%	~	87	76			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	••••		••••	••••	••••	••••	
(22:19)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (386:364)	<b>/</b>	<b>`</b>	99%	~	138	80	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2006–07, data for 2005–06 and 2006–07 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2006–07, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2007–08)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2008-09. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

2

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2006–07	Target 2007-08
All Students (347:332)	~	Qualified	~	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	182	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (39:33)		-	-	-	~	167	100		
Hispanic or Latino (26:25)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (273:265)		Oualified	~	99%	<	185	100	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••		• ••••••	•••		••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (39:35)		_	_	_	~	163	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (9:8)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (141:135)		Qualified	~	99%	~	172	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
		followed b	y the count of c	ontinuously enrolle	ed tested studer	est administration p nts (used for Perform are not included ir	mance). For a	countabilit	

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2006–07, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2005–06 and 2006–07 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2006-07, data for 2005-06 and 2006-07

### Summary of 2006–07 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a		otal ested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 6	62%			275
Grade 7	62%			278
Grade 8	53%			342
Mathematics				
Grade 6	75%			276
Grade 7	65%			285
Grade 8	50%			343
Science				
Grade 8	79%			279

District GREECE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 32

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

Percentage sc 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4		Percentage	scoring at level(s				
2-4	2_1			5	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	5-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
nge: 612–775	650-775	716-775						
%								
	<u>nge. 012-115</u>	•						

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2006-07	School Year		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
					3	-	-	-
Female					2	-	-	-
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		1	-	–	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	•••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••			•••••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Pacific Islander								
White					3	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					3	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					3	-	-	-
English Proficient					3	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient			•••••				••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged					3	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	••••••••••••••••••••••				3	-	-	-
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800				
	100%							
2006-07								
2005-06								
Number of Tested Chudent								

Number of Tested Students:

Poculto by	2006–07	School Year		2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					3	-	-	-
Female					2	-	-	-
Male					1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	••••••							
Hispanic or Latino			••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		•••••		•••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White					3	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					3	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					3	-	-	-
English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••		••••••		•••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••				3	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	••••••••••		•••••		3	-	-	-
NOTES								

Other Assessments	2006–07 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School	L		Similar S	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
	100%								
2006-07									
2005-06									
Number of Tested Chudente									

Number of Tested Students:

2006–07	School Year			2005–06 School Year				
Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
				3	-	-	-	
				2	-	-	-	
••••••		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	–	-	
•••••••		••••••	•••••		••••			
••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••			••••	•••••		
••••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••		••••			
				3	-	-	-	
				3	-	-	-	
		••••••		3	-	-	-	
				3	-	-	-	
		•••••						
•••••••••••			•••••	3	-	-	-	
••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	-	_	_	
-	Total Tested	Total Percentage	Tested 2-4 3-4	Total     Percentage scoring at level(s):       Tested     2-4	Total Tested     Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total Tested       3     2       1       1       1       3	Total Tested     Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total Tested     Percentage 2-4       3     -       2     -       1     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -       3     -	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 3-4         Total 3-4         Total 3-4         Total 3-4	

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared			

## This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	Percentage sco	pring at level(s):					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Range: 100%	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
100%							

Number of Tested Students:

Poculto by	2006–07	School Year			2005-06 \$	School Yeai	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage s	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students					3	-	-	-	
Female					1	-	-	-	
Male					2	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino							•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••				•••••		
Pacific Islander									
White					1	-			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					3	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities					3	-	-	-	
English Proficient					3	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged					2	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged					1	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		3	-	-	-	
NOTES									

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scoring		5	5	
		2-4	3-4	4	i csteu	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot b	2007, so e comparec	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar S	chools		
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
	100%							
2006-07								
2005-06								
Number of Tested Students								

Number of Tested Students:

Doculto by	2006-07	School Year			2005-06	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					3	-	-	-
Female					1	-	-	-
Male			••••••		2	-	–	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American			••••••		2	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino							••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••			•••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White					1	-	-	
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					3	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					3	-	-	-
English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient			••••••				•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged					2	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••••••				1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••		3	-	-	-
NOTES								

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 result	eloped in 2 s cannot be	007, so e compared.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 661	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2006 Mean Score: 651	100%	98% 91%	62% <u>59%</u>		99% <sub>95%</sub>	73% 68%			
2005-06				7% 6%			11% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:	_	269 243	171 156	20 15					

Poculte by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	275	98%	62%	7%	266	91%	59%	6%
Female	138	99%	68%	9%	130	97%	67%	8%
Male	137	97%	56%	5%	136	86%	51%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	35	94%	49%	0%	25	92%	48%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	22	95%	59%	0%	15	93%	47%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
White	209	99%	66%	9%	218	91%	61%	6%
Multiracial							•••••	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	44%	22%	8	88%	38%	0%
General-Education Students	246	100%	69%	8%	236	96%	64%	6%
Students with Disabilities	29	79%	3%	0%	30	53%	13%	0%
English Proficient	270	98%	63%	7%	264	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	20%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	119	97%	57%	5%	109	89%	55%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	156	98%	66%	9%	157	93%	61%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	275	98%	62%	7%	266	91%	59%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2			2007, so be compared.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2006 Mean Score: 654 ■ 2006–07 ■ 2005–06	100%	93% 85%	75% 57%	16% 12%	94% 91%	78% 66%	20% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	256 227	206 151	43 32					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	276	93%	75%	16%	266	85%	57%	12%
Female	141	95%	72%	16%	130	88%	55%	11%
Male	135	90%	77%	15%	136	83%	58%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	35	89%	51%	9%	25	76%	36%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	21	95%	76%	10%	16	88%	56%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	22%	6	-	-	-
White	211	93%	78%	17%	217	86%	58%	13%
Multiracial	••••••	••••		•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••		•••••	8	100%	88%	13%
General-Education Students	251	97%	80%	17%	236	89%	62%	13%
Students with Disabilities	25	48%	24%	0%	30	57%	13%	7%
English Proficient	268	93%	75%	15%	263	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	63%	25%	3	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	124	94%	69%	12%	111	85%	49%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	152	92%	79%	18%	155	86%	63%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	276	93%	75%	16%	266	85%	57%	12%

Other	2006–07 <b>S</b>	ichool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot be	2007, so e compared.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 657	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2006 Mean Score: 649 2006-07 2005-06	100%	97% 94%	62% 49%	5% 4%	97% 96%	67% 66%	7% 9%		
Number of Tested Students:		270 317	171 165	14 15					

Doculto by	2006–07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	278	97%	62%	5%	338	94%	<b>49</b> %	4%
Female	137	99%	69%	7%	149	96%	58%	7%
Male	141	96%	55%	3%	189	92%	41%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	29	100%	52%	7%	37	86%	30%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	65%	0%	23	91%	22%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	222	96%	62%	5%	268	95%	54%	6%
Multiracial	•••••		•••••				•••••••	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	70%	10%	10	100%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	244	100%	68%	6%	304	96%	54%	5%
Students with Disabilities	34	79%	15%	0%	34	71%	6%	3%
English Proficient	275	-	-	-	328	94%	50%	5%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	10	80%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	114	98%	52%	5%	139	89%	37%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	164	96%	68%	5%	199	97%	57%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	97%	62%	5%	338	94%	49%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006–07 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2005–06 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0		<u> </u>		New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve	eloped in a	2007, so
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 663	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2006 Mean Score: 644	100%	94% 86%	65%		97% 94%	77% 67%		
<ul> <li>2006-07</li> <li>2005-06</li> </ul>			44%	17% 9%			21% 15%	
Number of Tested Students:		268 290	184 150	49 30				

Doculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	285	94%	65%	17%	338	86%	44%	<b>9</b> %	
Female	140	94%	63%	15%	151	87%	47%	11%	
Male	145	94%	66%	19%	187	84%	42%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	27	93%	59%	19%	37	73%	22%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	65%	18%	25	68%	16%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	
White	231	94%	65%	17%	266	89%	50%	11%	
Multiracial				••••••			••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	20%	10	100%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students	250	96%	70%	20%	304	89%	48%	10%	
Students with Disabilities	35	83%	23%	0%	34	56%	12%	3%	
English Proficient	280	95%	65%	18%	325	86%	46%	9%	
Limited English Proficient	5	60%	20%	0%	13	77%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	119	93%	50%	8%	143	78%	27%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	166	95%	75%	23%	195	91%	57%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	285	94%	65%	17%	338	86%	44%	9%	

Other	2006-07 \$	ichool Year			2005–06 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	007, so e compared.			

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 654	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2006 Mean Score: 651 ■ 2006-07 ■ 2005-06	100%	97% 93%	53% 50%	<u>4%</u> 2%	97% 95%	<sup>68%</sup> 59%	7% 6%		
Number of Tested Students:		333 307	182 166	15 7					

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r	2005–06 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	342	97%	53%	4%	329	93%	50%	2%
Female	155	99%	61%	5%	158	94%	57%	3%
Male	187	96%	47%	4%	171	92%	44%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	40	93%	38%	0%	31	90%	23%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	24	100%	25%	0%	15	93%	47%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
White	268	98%	57%	5%	274	93%	54%	2%
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••		•••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	70%	10%	9	100%	44%	22%
General-Education Students	304	99%	58%	5%	281	97%	57%	2%
Students with Disabilities	38	82%	18%	0%	48	73%	10%	0%
English Proficient	335	98%	54%	4%	326	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	0%	0%	3	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	136	96%	40%	1%	114	84%	32%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	206	98%	62%	6%	215	98%	60%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	342	97%	53%	4%	329	93%	50%	2%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2006-07 <b>S</b>	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA 2006 and 2	were deve 007 results	eloped in 2 s cannot b	2007, so e compared	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2007 Mean Score: 650	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2006 Mean Score: 651 2006-07 2005-06	100%	86% 88%	50% 50%	7% 6%	94% 94%	70% 67%	13% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:		296 294	172 167	24 21		_			

Poculto by	2006-07	School Yea	r		2005–06 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	86%	50%	7%	334	88%	50%	<b>6</b> %
Female	156	90%	57%	7%	162	90%	51%	6%
Male	187	83%	44%	7%	172	86%	49%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	38	82%	32%	3%	32	81%	19%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	24	58%	21%	4%	18	72%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	272	89%	55%	7%	274	89%	54%	7%
Multiracial			•••••					
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	22%	10	100%	70%	20%
General-Education Students	305	89%	55%	8%	286	93%	55%	7%
Students with Disabilities	38	63%	11%	0%	48	58%	19%	0%
English Proficient	334	87%	51%	7%	327	88%	50%	6%
Limited English Proficient	9	44%	0%	0%	7	100%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	139	78%	35%	5%	119	79%	38%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	204	92%	61%	8%	215	93%	57%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	86%	50%	7%	334	88%	50%	6%

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This School				Similar Schools				
	Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	97% 99%				98% 99%	86% 86	07		
		83% 8	0%			00% 00	70		
							41		
2006-07			2	7% 23%			41	<sup>%</sup> 35%	
2005-06				2370					
Number of Tested Students:	334 327	285 2	266 9	92 75					
Posults by	2006–07 <b>S</b> o	2005–06 School Year							
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	279	97%	<b>79</b> %	23%	252	98%	74%	15%	
emale	128	98%	76%	27%	124	98%	73%	14%	
Male	151	96%	82%	19%	128	99%	75%	16%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2				3				
Black or African American	33	94%	61%	6%	29	100%	48%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	25	96%	76%	8%	16	100%	69%	13%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	5	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander									
Vhite	214	97%			200	98%	79%	17%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	43%	7	100%	57%	14%	
General-Education Students	241	98%	82%	26%	205	100%	80%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	38	92%	63%	5%	47	94%	51%	0%	
English Proficient	270	97%	80%	24%	245	98%	76%	15%	
imited English Proficient	9	89%	67%	0%	7	100%	29%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	126	96%	71%	12%	106	97%	56%	7%	
Not Disadvantaged	153	97%	86%	32%	146	99%	88%	21%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	279	97%	79%	23%	252	98%	74%	15%	

Other	2006–07 School Year				2005–06 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				New NYSAA were developed in 2007, so 2006 and 2007 results cannot be compared				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent									
Regents Science	64	64	64	28	79	79	79	38	