

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School ADIRONDACK MIDDLE SCHOOL District ADIRONDACK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 410401060001 Principal PATRICIA THOMAS Telephone (315) 942-9202 Grades 6-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
120	104	110
0	0	0
117	123	112
127	123	123
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
26	0	0
390	350	345
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 120 0 120 0 117 127 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 120 104 0 0 117 123 127 123 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26 0

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	19	24	24
Mathematics	23	24	24
Science	18	17	17
Social Studies	21	25	24
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	80	21%	78	22%	100	29%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	42	11%	47	13%	29	8%	
Student Stability*		100%		93%		94%	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Black or African American	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Asian or Native	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	390	100%	348	99%	342	99%	

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		96%
Student Suspensions	31	N/A	18	5%	7	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	102	100	92
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	10%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	4%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	2	0
Percentage of Total	0%	7%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	14%	16%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	32	27	25
Total Other Professional Staff	2	2	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School ADIRONDACK MIDDLE SCHOOL District ADIRONDACK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Tit	le I funds) (Applies to all New York State public schools)
• •	if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, juiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same a measure for two consecutive years while receir Title I funds is considered a School in Need of (Year 1) for the following year.	iving measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Need of Im (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to Title I funds.	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedapprovementis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Corrective following year, if it continues to receive Title I	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedAction for theis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not may on the accountability measure for which it was is considered a School Planning for Restructur the following year, if it continues to receive Tit	s identifiedmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedring foris considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does AYP on the accountability measure for which is is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) f following year, if it continues to receive Title I	t was identified does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was or the identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not r the accountability measure for which it was id considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for	entified is

2 School Accountability

School ADIRONDACK MIDDLE SCHOOL District ADIRONDACK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	∧ G	▲ Good Standing					
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math	Good Standing	Math	••••			
	Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title Part & Funding	Vears	the School Received T	tle I Part & Funding				

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	V	v	v					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	-	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	~	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	 	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	✔ 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1					



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatus	Met Criterion	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status		Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (346:340)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	100%		142	116			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
White (343:337)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	143	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (57:55)	SH	~	98%	✔ SH	82	109	76	94	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged (138:132)	<	~	99%	~	124	113			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								
		NOTES							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (345:338)	 	✓	100%	~	161	80			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		–	-	-	-	-		-	
White (342:335)	✓	<	100%	 ✓ 	161	80	••• •••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (57:54)	v	v	98%	~	107	73			
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged (138:131)	~	<	99%	~	141	77			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- 1 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06,

data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more

continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30

- ~ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP

AYP Status

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not gualify for Safe Harbor.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	-
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (120:117)	v	Qualified	v	100%	v	172	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (118:116)	••••••	Qualified	<	100%	~	172	100	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (12:12)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (48:46)		Qualified	~	100%	~	157	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	Total Tested	
irade 6 irade 7	0%	50%	100%
Grade 5	-		1
Grade 6	59%		111
Grade 7			113
Grade 8	47%		119
Mathematics			
Grade 6	74%		110
Grade 7	75%		114
Grade 8	55%		119
Science			
Grade 8	76%		119

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 29

All schools in this group are middle level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo			Similar Schools					
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(5):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: –	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795						
	100%				92%					
	100%					63%				
						0378				
							8%			
Number of Students:		-	-	_						
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year		2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage scori	ing at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s)			
Student Grou	up	Tested	2-4 3	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4			
All Students		1	-							
Female		1	-							
Male										
American Indian or Alaska	Native									
Black or African American			•••••							
Hispanic or Latino			••••••							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/(Other		•••••							
Pacific Islander										
White		1	-		This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals		1	–							
General-Education Student	S									
Students with Disabilities		1	_	– –						
English Proficient		1	_							
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••							
Economically Disadvantage	ed	1	_							
Not Disadvantaged			•••••			••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	1	_			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
NOTES		_								

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0					was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 655	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785						
	100%	95%				92%					
	100%										
			59%				58%				
				9	%			8%			
Number of Students:		105	66	1	.0						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		111	95%	59%	9 %						
Female		58	91%	59%	12%						
Male		53	98%	60%	6%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	••••••••		•••••	•••••	•••••					
Pacific Islander					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				<u>.</u>		
White		111	95%	59%	9%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students			100%	69%	11%						
Students with Disabilities		22	73%	23%	0%						
English Proficient		111	95%	59%	9%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		50	90%	44%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		61	98%	72%	13%						
Migrant											
		111	95%	59%	9%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2-4	3-4	4		Tested2-43-44This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 663	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780						
	1000/	94%				88%					
	100%		74%								
							55%				
				7	%			7%			
Number of Students:		103	81		8						
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		110	94%	74%	7%						
Female		57	91%	72%	11%						
Male		53	96%	75%	4%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othei	r										
Pacific Islander						······			05		
White		110	94%	74%	7%	Inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students			98%	80%	9%						
Students with Disabilities		21	76%	48%	0%						
English Proficient		110	94%	74%	7%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		49	92%	65%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged		61	95%	80%	11%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		110	94%	74%	7%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage so		al (s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 642	Range:	600-790	650-7		12-790						
						010/					
	100%	90%				91%					
			450/				52%				
			45%								
				1	.%			5%			
Number of Students:		102	51		1						
		102	51		-		_				
Results by		2005–06 S o				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group	`	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Orou		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		113	90%	45%	1%						
Female		54	94%	43%	2%						
Male		59	86%	47%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er	2	-	_	-						
White			· ·····	······-	 _	This tes	st was not giv	ven in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals			 90%	45%		•••••	-				
General-Education Students		91	97%	53%	1%						
Students with Disabilities			 64%			•••••					
English Proficient		113	90%	45%	1%						
Limited English Proficient		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged		39	82%	36%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged		74	95%	50%	0%		•••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant		113	90%	45%	1%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Accoccmonts	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	was not giv	on in 200	1-05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent								,4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage so				Ū.	scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 661	Range:	611-800	650-8	800 E	693-800						
	100%	95%				89%					
			75%				55%				
							5570				
				1	1%			8%			
								0 70			
Number of Students:		108	85	-	12						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		114	95%	75%	11%						
Female		55	96%	75%	9%						
Male		59	93%	75%	12%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-						
White	•••••	112			-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		114	95%	75%	11%						
General-Education Students		91	99%	84%	12%						
Students with Disabilities		23	78%	39%	4%						
English Proficient		114	95%	75%	11%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		40	90%	60%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged		74	97%	82%	11%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		114	95%	75%	11%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200/	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	-	-1/c);		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage so 2–4	3–4	et(s): 4		2-4	3–4	s): 4		
Maan Saara, 650	Denge					Ζ-4	5-4	4		
Mean Score: 650	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790	_				
	100%	92%				91%				
			47%				46%			
				3	%			4%		
Number of Students:		109	56		4					
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year	r		2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	/el(s):	
Student Grou	J	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		119	92%	47%	3%					
Female		66	98%	56%	6%					
Male		53	83%	36%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino						New as	sessments fo	r elementar	у-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er						ddle-level En		ige	
Pacific Islander							d mathematic			
White		118	-		-		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		119	92%	47%	3%		ssessments c ed to results			
General-Education Students		109	94%	51%	4%		tered assess	•	Justy	
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	0%	0%			inerits.		
English Proficient		119	92%	47%	3%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		46	87%	35%	2%					
Not Disadvantaged		73	95%	55%	4%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		119	92%	47%	3%					

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
ASSESSILLEILS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			1	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т		-	-	т			_
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] :	0				0			
Grade 8								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Scl	Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	4	2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 654	Range:	616-775	650-7	75	701-775							
	100%	89%				89%						
			55%				55%					
					10/							
				-	.1%			6%				
Number of Students:		106	66		13							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yeai			2004-05 \$	School Year					
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	it level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		119	89 %	55%	11%							
Female		65	94%	62%	12%							
Male		54	83%	48%	9%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve											
Black or African American		1										
Hispanic or Latino							sessments fo		•			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							Idle-level En		age			
Pacific Islander				•••••			I mathematic					
White		118					tered in 200					
Small Group Totals		119	89%	55%	11%		ssessments c ed to results					
General-Education Students		108	93%	61%	12%		tered assess	•	ousty			
Students with Disabilities		11	55%	0%	0%							
English Proficient		119	89%	55%	11%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		47	79%	36%	6%							
Not Disadvantaged		72	96%	68%	14%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		119	89%	55%	11%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	_	_

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 74	Range:	44-100	65-10	30 B	5-100					
2005-06	100%	96% 99%	76% 8		36%	97% 98%	77% 83	% 239	32%	
2004–05										
Number of Students:		114 117	91	96 2	27 43					
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r		
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		119	96%	76 %	23%	118	99%	81%	36%	
Female		64	98%	78%	23%	54	100%	87%	46%	
Male		55	93%	75%	22%	64	98%	77%	28%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e									
Black or African American		2								
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander										
White		117	-		_	118	99%	81%	36%	
Small Group Totals		119	96%	76%	23%					
General-Education Students		108	97%	81%	25%	93	100%	89%	42%	
Students with Disabilities		11	82%	27%	0%	25	96%	52%	16%	
English Proficient		119	96%	76%	23%	118	99%	81%	36%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		47	91%	68%	15%	42	100%	71%	26%	
Not Disadvantaged		72	99%	82%	28%	76	99%	87%	42%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		119	96%	76%	23%	118	99%	81%	36%	


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т	_		_	±	_		_	
Regents Science	0				0				

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	8	57	50	5	120	695	
Jan 2004	17	65	48	10	140	693	
Jan 2003	13	77	47	4	141	688	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	7	30	79	5	121	724	
May 2004	10	41	82	4	137	718	
May 2003	19	56	66	5	146	710	

This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This Scho				Similar Schools				
	Percentage	scoring at leve	l(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
10	0%								
					0% ⁶ %	0% 6%		001	
2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort					0%	0%	0%	0%	
Results by	2002 Coh	ort*			2001 Cohor	t*			
_	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group	of Student	s 2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students					1	-	-	-	
Female					1				
Male									
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White					1				
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••			
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-	
English Proficient				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-		<u>-</u>	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant									

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School	Similar Schools							
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	•								
					0% 6%	0% 6%	0%	6%	
2002 Cohort					0%	0%	0%		
2001 Cohort									
Results by	2002 Cohort	*			2001 Cohoi	ť*			
	Number	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students					1	-	-	-	
Female Male		••••••		••••••	1				
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••					
Pacific Islander									
White					1				
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	-	-	
English Proficient					1	-	-	-	
imited English Proficient	••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
conomically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••				
Aigrant S									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••	•••••	•••••			
NOTES									

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) a	re suppressed to protect	the privacy of	individual stud	ents.				
Other	2002 Cohor	t*	2001 Coho r	ť*				
-	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

100%

Percentage of students who:


		Number		Earned an	Transferred	Were Still	Dropped
	Cohort	of Students	Graduated	IEP Diploma	to GED	Enrolled	Out
All Students							
	2001	1	-	-	-	-	-
Female							
	2001			<u>.</u>			···· •
Male							
American Indian							
or Alaska Native							
Black or							
African American							
Hispanic or Latino							
Asian or Native	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	••••	•••••••••••	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White	•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
	2001	1	_		_	_	_
Small Group Totals		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••
·	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
General-Education Students							
Students with Disabilities	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••
	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
English Proficient							
	2001	1					
Limited English Proficient							
Economically Disadvantaged							
Not Disadvantaged	•••••			· · · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Migrant							
Not Migrant							

NOTES

2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	1	_	-	-	-	-
Female	1	-	-	-	-	-
Male	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1	_	-	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	1	_	-	_	_	_
General-Education Students	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	1	_	-	-	_	_
English Proficient	1	-	-	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-		-
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	1	_		_	_	-

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.