# **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 14-02-01-06-0002 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Amherst Central High School

Principal: Joseph A. Podgorski

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	270	249	248
Tenth	242	260	257
Eleventh	247	242	253
Twelfth	249	253	246
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1008	1004	1004

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		2002-03		3–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	16	1.6%	32	3.2%	22	2.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	47	4.7%	104	10.4%	124	12.4%
Hispanic	10	1.0%	13	1.3%	13	1.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	935	92.8%	855	85.2%	845	84.2%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	0	0	0						
Common Branch	0	0	0						
English Grade 8	0	0	0						
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0						
Science Grade 8	0	0	0						
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0						
English Grade 10	23	20	19						
Mathematics Grade 10	23	20	20						
Science Grade 10	18	17	17						
Social Studies Grade 10	21	22	19						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description		
50	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.		

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

-	2001-02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	6	0.6%	7	0.7%	13	1.3%
Eligible for Free Lunch	57	5.7%	62	6.2%	74	7.4%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.7%		92.9%		93.5%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	77	8.1%	65	6.5%	13	1.3%

# **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	3.1%	3.6%	3.8%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	11-20%
Student Stability	92%	91%	96%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	79
Total Other Professional Staff	13
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

		2001–02			2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	192	135	70%	193	146	76%	195	156	80%	
Students with Disabilities	20	5	25%	20	6	30%	25	5	20%	
All Students	212	140	66%	213	152	71%	220	161	73%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	170	36	6	0	4	4
Percent	77%	16%	3%	0%	2%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
25	5	3	28

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	1–02	2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	12		9		6	0.7%
Education	Entered GED Program*	13		7		12	1.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	25		16		18	2.0%
Students	Dropped Out	1		3		3	2.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	3		0		2	1.9%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	4		3		5	4.8%
All	Dropped Out	13	1.3%	12	1.2%	9	0.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	16	1.6%	7	0.7%	14	1.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	29	2.9%	19	1.9%	23	2.2%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
( 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	232	458	909
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	38	51	95
9–12	Number of All Students	270	509	1004
	Percent of Enrollment	27%	51%	100%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	1	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	16	75%	3	#	11	100%	
Science	8	63%	6	67%	3	#	
Reading	2	#	14	93%	10	100%	
Writing	2	#	4	#	20	95%	
Global Studies	8	50%	7	86%	9	78%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	5	40%	3	#	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	Negents	, L'Aaiiii	mations	<u>,                                      </u>		
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	236	231	261	21	24	17
Number Scoring 55–100	226	217	253	15	16	15
Number Scoring 65–100	208	199	239	11	10	11
Number Scoring 85–100	117	112	136	3	3	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	94%	97%	71%	67%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	86%	92%	52%	42%	65%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	48%	52%	14%	12%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	11	227	243	4	13	18
Number Scoring 55–100	7	215	239	#	9	15
Number Scoring 65–100	7	204	235	#	8	12
Number Scoring 85–100	7	119	132	#	2	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	64%	95%	98%	#	69%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	64%	90%	97%	#	62%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	64%	52%	54%	#	15%	6%
1 010011111 of 10001111 of 1000111 of 10001111 of 1000111 of		athematics B	0.70		10,0	0,0
Number Tested	0	1	138	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	137	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	129	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	59	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	99%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	93%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	43%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	243	272	263	27	23	25
Number Scoring 55–100	237	257	243	25	18	17
Number Scoring 65–100	218	233	233	18	14	16
Number Scoring 85–100	111	103	129	4	1	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	94%	92%	93%	78%	68%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	86%	89%	67%	61%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	38%	49%	15%	4%	20%
		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	231	239	248	18	26	16
Number Scoring 55–100	222	235	247	15	25	16
Number Scoring 65–100	203	231	238	10	22	16
Number Scoring 85–100	70	141	137	1	4	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	98%	100%	83%	96%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	97%	96%	56%	85%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	59%	55%	6%	15%	12%
	2070			U / U	10,0	1-70

 $\frac{1270}{(\text{Form} - \text{F})}$ 

		All Students			Students with Disabilities 2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2003-04		
	Liyin	g Environme	nt				
Number Tested	216	277	221	17	32	19	
Number Scoring 55–100	211	269	217	17	27	18	
Number Scoring 65–100	207	250	212	15	20	16	
Number Scoring 85–100	98	106	83	3	1	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	98%	100%	84%	95%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	90%	96%	88%	62%	84%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	38%	38%	18%	3%	5%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	218	165	191	32	14	20	
Number Scoring 55–100	198	159	175	25	12	16	
Number Scoring 65–100	183	154	164	18	11	13	
Number Scoring 85–100	87	69	51	3	3	5	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	96%	92%	78%	86%	80%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	93%	86%	56%	79%	65%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	42%	27%	9%	21%	25%	
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry				
Number Tested	176	181	211	6	5	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	172	178	206	6	5	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	152	155	181	4	3	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	49	40	62	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	98%	100%	100%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	86%	86%	67%	60%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	22%	29%	0%	0%	#	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics				
Number Tested			74			2	
Number Scoring 55–100			74			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			72			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			30			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			97%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			41%			#	

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		_	•	
Number Tested	85	54	47	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	85	54	47	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	85	54	45	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	43	37	30	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	96%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	69%	64%	#	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	61	102	108	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	61	102	107	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	60	102	106	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	34	49	54	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	99%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	100%	98%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	56%	48%	50%	0%	0%	#
<u> </u>	Comp	rehensive La				
Number Tested	9	18	12	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	9	18	12	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	9	18	12	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	11	8	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	61%	67%	#	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	183	160	18	7	5	1				
Number Scoring 55–100	176	150	17	5	5	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	170	136	15	5	5	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	112	70	1	2	3	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	94%	94%	71%	100%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	85%	83%	71%	100%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	44%	6%	29%	60%	#				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	37	97%	34	97%	14	100%	
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	8	100%	13	92%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary 1	Level						
English Language Arts	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	3	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	210	210	210	29	29	29	239	239	239
Number Scoring 55–64	2	1	2	4	2	0	6	3	2
Number Scoring 65–84	83	64	79	14	15	21	97	79	100
Number Scoring 85–100	107	134	121	5	4	4	112	138	125
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students	<i>S</i> .	Students with Disabilities					
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)									
Number Tested			0			0			
Beginning (0–18)			0			0			
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0			
Advanced (32–36)			0			0			
Proficient (37–39)			0			0			
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)	)					
Number Tested			0			0			
Beginning (0–14)			0			0			
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0			
Advanced (25–32)			0			0			
Proficient (33–35)			0			0			
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)					
Number Tested			7			0			
Beginning (0–18)			1			0			
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0			
Advanced (32–36)			2			0			
Proficient (37–39)			3			0			
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)									
Number Tested			7			0			
Beginning (0–14)			1			0			
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0			
Advanced (25–32)			5			0			
Proficient (33–35)			1			0			

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)