New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:08-01-01-04-0003Name:Afton Middle School High SchoolPrincipal:Jerry Hartman

Grade Range : 6-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	63	59	60
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	3
Seventh	61	61	60
Eighth	60	68	58
Ninth	68	55	68
Tenth	47	58	45
Eleventh	33	42	45
Twelfth	43	34	52
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	3
Total K-12 Enrollment	375	377	394

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	1	0.3%	2	0.5%	4	1.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Hispanic	1	0.3%	2	0.5%	2	0.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	373	99.5%	372	98.7%	387	98.2%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	12	21	19
Mathematics Grade 8	19	21	19
Science Grade 8	20	0	20
Social Studies Grade 8	20	21	19
English Grade 10	21	18	23
Mathematics Grade 10	14	14	14
Science Grade 10	0	18	12
Social Studies Grade 10	16	0	0

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
47	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	87	23.2%	110	29.2%	93	23.6%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.6%		93.4%		93.2%
Student Suspensions	67	17.8%	64	17.1%	62	16.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	14.1%	18.0%	18.8%
Public Assistance	41-50%	21-30%	21-30%
Student Stability	98%	97%	94%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003–04
Total Teachers	34
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	7

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001-02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	35	26	74%	32	17	53%	37	16	43%	
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	2	0	0%	6	2	33%	
All Students	37	26	70%	34	17	50%	43	18	42%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	17	12	1	0	0	13
Percent	40%	28%	2%	0%	0%	30%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
6	2	8	14

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		5		3	1.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		2	1.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		5		5	2.5%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		1		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	6	3.2%	3	1.3%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	6	3.2%	5	2.2%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	170	166	154
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	14	22	30
0-0	Number of All Students	184	188	184
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	154	168	186
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	37	21	24
9-12	Number of All Students	191	189	210
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	99%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	1	#	0	0%	1	#
Writing	3	#	0	0%	1	#
Global Studies	1	#	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	1	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	1	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	2	#	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compr	ehensive Eng	glish		1	1
Number Tested	31	40	48	1	5	0
Number Scoring 55–100	31	36	43	#	2	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	33	37	#	2	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	12	14	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	90%	90%	#	40%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	82%	77%	#	40%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	30%	29%	#	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	40	53	46	0	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	33	48	46	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	29	43	43	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	11	10	13	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	91%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	81%	93%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	19%	28%	0%	#	#
	Ma	athematics B	•			
Number Tested	3	25	27	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	17	12	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	8	7	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	1	1	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	68%	44%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	32%	26%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	4%	4%	0%	#	0%
		story and Geo	ography			
Number Tested	44	50	42	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	44	49	41	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	38	47	36	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	22	11	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	98%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	94%	86%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	44%	26%	0%	#	#
		ory and Gove				
Number Tested	36	42	48	0	5	2
Number Scoring 55–100	35	39	43	0	2	#
Number Scoring 65–100	34	36	36	0	2	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	14	14	0	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	93%	90%	0%	40%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	86%	75%	0%	40%	#
	33%	33%	29%	0%	20%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	33%	29%	0%	20%	(Earm

(Form – F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme	1	•	1	1
Number Tested	42	55	52	0	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	41	54	50	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	37	51	47	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	9	20	5	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	96%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	93%	90%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	36%	10%	0%	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	37	44	36	0	4	0
Number Scoring 55–100	35	38	29	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	33	34	22	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	18	10	7	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	86%	81%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	77%	61%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	23%	19%	0%	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	2	31	12	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	30	11	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	21	9	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	1	1	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	97%	92%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	68%	75%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	3%	8%	0%	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			9			0
Number Scoring 55–100			9			0
Number Scoring 65–100			9			0
Number Scoring 85–100			4			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			44%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	1	0
Number Tested	0	20	17	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	19	16	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	17	15	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	5	6	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	95%	94%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	85%	88%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	25%	35%	0%	#	0%
Normh on Tracto d	0	rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested		0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0		0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nl		ehensive Ger		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0 0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100 Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Fercentage of Tested Scotting 85–100		ehensive Heb		0%	0%	0%
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 05–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of rested scoring 85–100		ehensive Spa		070	070	070
Number Tested	0	18	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	18	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	17	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	9	5	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	93%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	94%	87%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	50%	33%	0%	0%	0%
rescange of resca Scoring 05-100		prehensive La		070	070	070
Number Tested		0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 05–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recommende of restor scoring 05 100	070	070	070	070	0,0	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	3	#	0	0%
0	0%	1	#	0	0%
		0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 3	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% Passing00%3#	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% PassingNo. Tested00%3#0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	43	9%	53%	35%	2%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	11	27%	64%	9%	0%
	All Students	54	13%	56%	30%	2%

(Form – I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Test	Not Tested	Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	37	37	37	10	10	10	47	47	47
Number Scoring 55–64	0	1	2	3	0	1	3	1	3
Number Scoring 65–84	33	21	23	2	2	1	35	23	24
Number Scoring 85–100	3	12	11	0	1	2	3	13	13
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)