New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:	02-06-01-04-0001
Name:	Andover School
Principal:	Richard Mcinroy

Grade Range : K-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	36	31	32
First	28	33	25
Second	22	26	32
Third	28	27	27
Fourth	32	31	28
Fifth	37	35	29
Sixth	32	39	36
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	25	33	45
Eighth	35	26	32
Ninth	34	36	30
Tenth	28	31	29
Eleventh	35	30	28
Twelfth	19	34	27
Ungraded Secondary	0	1	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	391	413	400

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.5%	3	0.7%	3	0.8%
Hispanic	7	1.8%	6	1.5%	1	0.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	382	97.7%	403	97.6%	396	99.0%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	18	15	18
Common Branch	14	16	15
English Grade 8	32	26	15
Mathematics Grade 8	33	0	13
Science Grade 8	16	13	16
Social Studies Grade 8	16	14	16
English Grade 10	15	18	14
Mathematics Grade 10	9	20	12
Science Grade 10	0	19	15
Social Studies Grade 10	29	17	16

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden
	through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are
64	compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for
04	schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable
	districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level
	schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
	Count Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0 0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	83	21.2%	91	22.0%	132	33.0%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01 No. of % of		2001	1–02	2002–03	
			No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.3%		96.0%		95.7%
Student Suspensions	8	2.1%	23	5.9%	26	6.3%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	11.3%	8.2%	9.8%
Public Assistance	1-10%	31-40%	31-40%
Student Stability	95%	94%	89%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	43
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02			2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	14	4	29%	25	11	44%	24	17	71%
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	4	0	0%	0	0	0%
All Students	15	4	27%	29	11	38%	24	17	71%

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	5	13	1	3	1	1
Percent	21%	54%	4%	12%	4%	4%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
0	0	0	0

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		3		1	1.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2		3		1	1.0%
Students	Dropped Out	1		1		1	7.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		1	7.1%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		2		2	14.3%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.9%	4	3.0%	2	1.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	1.7%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3	2.6%	5	3.8%	3	2.5%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	39%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	4	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	4	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	6%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	55	26	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	5	7	0
0-0	Number of All Students	60	33	0
	Percent of Enrollment	65%	34%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	92	27	109
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	24	2	9
9–12	Number of All Students	116	29	118
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	22%	104%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	19	84%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	4	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	1	#	
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	3	#	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	3	#	
Science	0	0%	3	#	2	#	
Reading	1	#	1	#	0	0%	
Writing	1	#	1	#	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	5	60%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	2	#	1	#	

(Form - E)

	Negents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Сотр	ehensive Eng			1	1
Number Tested	36	30	29	1	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	32	30	28	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	26	30	27	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	1	16	14	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	100%	97%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	72%	100%	93%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	53%	48%	#	0%	#
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	27	9	36	0	0	5
Number Scoring 55–100	20	9	33	0	0	2
Number Scoring 65–100	14	7	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	0	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	74%	100%	92%	0%	0%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	52%	78%	69%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics B	L	•		
Number Tested	0	10	9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	7	9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	4	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	70%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	40%	44%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	39	35	36	0	2	5
Number Scoring 55–100	39	33	34	0	#	4
Number Scoring 65–100	29	31	30	0	#	1
Number Scoring 85–100	5	12	9	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	94%	94%	0%	#	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	89%	83%	0%	#	20%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	34%	25%	0%	#	0%
6		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	35	32	29	1	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	27	32	27	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	24	31	23	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	8	10	11	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	77%	100%	93%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	97%	79%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	31%	38%	#	#	#

(Form – F)

	Regents			0	· · · · · · · · · ·	
	0001.00	All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
N. 1		g Environme	1			-
Number Tested	26	33	28	0	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	26	30	28	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	22	25	26	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	3	8	7	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	91%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	76%	93%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	24%	25%	0%	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	26	34	31	0	4	3
Number Scoring 55–100	25	30	29	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	24	27	27	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	7	9	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	88%	94%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	79%	87%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	21%	29%	0%	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	10	10	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	6	8	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	3	4	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	60%	80%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	30%	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			8			0
Number Scoring 55–100			6			0
Number Scoring 65–100			5			0
Number Scoring 85–100			2			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			75%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			62%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			25%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Kegents	All Students		n	nts with Disa	hilities	
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	
		rehensive Fre		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
refeelinge of rested Scoring 85–100		rehensive Ita		070	070	070	
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
recentage of rested Scoring 85–100		ehensive Ger		070	070	070	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Fercentage of Tested Scotting 83–100		ehensive Heb		0%	0%	0%	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
reicentage of Tested Scotting 83–100				0%	0%	0%	
Number Tested	11	ehensive Spa	14	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	11	13	14	0	0	0	
	11	13	13	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	5	2		0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100			6		-	÷	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	93%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	85%	93%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	15%	43%	0%	0%	0%	
		orehensive La		0	0		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% (Form -	

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	12	9	2	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	4	5	#	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	2	1	#	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	33%	56%	#	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	17%	11%	#	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
25	100%	26	85%	15	93%
1	#	3	#	3	#
	No. Tested		No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	26	#	#	#	#
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	3	#	#	#	#
	All Students	29	17%	7%	59%	17%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	22	5%	32%	59%	5%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	5	0%	100%	0%	0%
	All Students	27	4%	44%	48%	4%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of S	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 1 Level 2		Level 4					
Elementary Level											
Social Studies 0 0 0 0 0 0											
Middle Level											
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Secondary Level											
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0					

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	26	26	26	1	1	1	27	27	27	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	1	0	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	21	16	19	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	4	7	6	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0	

(Form – J)