
# The New York State School Report Card for Davis Middle School in Mount Vernon City School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2001



The University of the State of New York

The State Education Department

March 16, 2001

### THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

### **Regents of The University**

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D.	Elmira
DIANE O'NEILL McGIVERN, Vice Chancellor, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S.	Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	Lloyd Harbor
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A.	New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D.	Bronx
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	Buffalo
CHARLOTTE K. FRANK, B.B.A., M.S.Ed., Ph.D.	New York
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3 <sup>rd</sup> , B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale

# President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

# **Chief Operating Officer**

RICHARD H. CATE

# **Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education** James A. Kadamus

# **Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services**

CHARLES SZUBERLA

# Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services

MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.


Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

e-mail: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

# Grade 8

# English Language Arts



# **Level 2** (662-700)

These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some knowledge and skill for each standard for intermediate students. Students show partial understanding of intermediate-level written and oral text. Students' writing has some focus and basic organization and uses simple sentences and vocabulary. Errors sometimes interfere with comprehension.

# **Level 1** (527-661)

These students have serious academic deficiencies. They show no evidence of proficiency in one or more of the standards for intermediate students and incomplete proficiency in all three of the standards. Students show minimal understanding of intermediate-level written and oral text. Students' writing is brief, general, or uses repetitive statements, and reveals difficulty in organizing thoughts. Errors interfere with both readability and comprehension.

### Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 8 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress
June 2000	15	1

<sup>\*</sup> Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html</a>.

<sup>1</sup> These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


<sup>2</sup> These students were not required to take the grade 8 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# Grade 8

# **Mathematics**



	Performance	Counts of Students									
	at This School	Not Tested		Tested					Mean Score		
at	This School	IEP <sup>1</sup>	ELL <sup>2</sup>	Absent <sup>3</sup>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total	Score	
	General Education		0	9	167	114	42	2	325	680	
June 1999	Special Education	14	0	2	45	5	0	0	50	646	
1999	All Students	14	0	11	212	119	42	2	375	676	
	General Education		2	37	129	109	56	4	298	689	
May 2000	Special Education	12	0	8	30	9	2	0	41	660	
2000	All Students	12	2	45	159	118	58	4	339	686	

# Grade 8 Mathematics Levels - Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards

orace of wathematics Levels - Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards				
<b>Level 4</b> (760-882)	These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. They show superior knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students and are proficient at some commencement-level skills. They consistently demonstrate proficiency and accuracy in processes and solutions. They clearly communicate mathematical insights, use a wide range of mathematical problem-solving strategies, and identify the most efficient means to a solution.			
<b>Level 3</b> (716-759)	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. They show knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students and some commencement-level skills. They use prime numbers, factors, rational numbers; apply formulas; visualize three dimensional shapes; understand and use the Pythagorean theorem and trigonometric functions.			
<b>Level 2</b> (681-715)	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students. They use basic reasoning, understand percentages, visualize two-dimensional shapes, understand properties of polygons and use patterns.			
<b>Level 1</b> (517-680)	These students have serious academic deficiencies. They show no evidence of proficiency in one or more of the key ideas for intermediate students and incomplete proficiency in all seven key ideas. They can use variables and make and use simple measurements. They may use simple operations and understand simple graphical displays. They can identify some patterns and functions.			

<sup>\*</sup> Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School** Profile page of this report. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html</a>

<sup>1</sup> These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

<sup>2</sup> These students are not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there is no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# **School Profile**

Principal: Dr. Judit	h Kronin		Phone: (914)665-5120		
Organization 1999-2000		School Staff <sup>1</sup> (both full- and part-time)			
Grade Range	Student Enrollment	Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals		
7-8	847	65	12		

1998-99 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$11,083
--	----------

Student Enrollment			
Grade Level	October 1999	Grade Level	October 1999
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	434
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	413
Grade 1	0	Grade 9	0
Grade 2	0	Grade 10	0
Grade 3	0	Grade 11	0
Grade 4	0	Grade 12	0
Grade 5	0	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	0
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0

Student Demographics Used To	199	1997-98		1998-99		1999-2000	
Determine Similar School Groups	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
English Language Learners	58	7.5%	58	7.0%	49	5.8%	
Eligible For Free Lunch	428	55.0%	418	50.4%	337	39.8%	

Similar
<b>Schools</b>
Group

This school is in Similar Schools Group 26. All schools in this group are middle level schools in urban or suburban school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.

# System of Accountability for Student Success (SASS)

This section presents this school's results relative to Commissioner's standards for school performance. To raise performance, the Commissioner has established State standards for schools for each school year through 2002-2003. Each fall the Commissioner will announce State performance standards for schools for one additional school year.

Schools are expected to meet or exceed the Commissioner's standards. School districts must develop and implement local assistance plans (LAP) to bring every public school up to these levels. Schools that fall below a standard are assigned adequate yearly progress (AYP) targets. This school-specific program of attainable goals is intended to allow schools below standard to "compete against themselves" by showing adequate progress towards closing the gap between their baseline performance and the State standard.


A Performance Index is used to assess school performance relative to elementary- and middle-level State standards for English language arts and for mathematics. The Performance Index credits each school for the students demonstrating some of the Learning Standards (Level 2) and for the students demonstrating all of the assessed Learning Standards (Level 3 and Level 4). Students at the higher levels contribute more to the Performance Index than do Level 2 students. Level 1 performance gives no credit. In its simplest form, the Performance Index is the sum of the percentage of students performing at or above Level 2 plus the percentage of students performing at or above Level 3.

More information about these accountability statistics is available at the State Education Department Web site (<a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001</a>). There you can find the rules for which students' results are included in the Performance Index, for how the performance of English language learners is included, for how the baseline numbers are calculated, for accountability for very small schools, and for when and how adequate yearly progress targets are assigned.

# Middle-Level School Performance


The Performance Index used to measure elementary school performance is computed using aggregate results of continuously enrolled students on the State Grade 8 assessments.





This school did not achieve the State standard, and has not made adequate yearly progress. The 1999-00 school performance index is based on results for 319 students. The 1999-00 school baseline combines results for 1998-99 and 1999-00 and so reflects the performance of 676 students.

# Mathematics Performance of Students in Grade 8



This school did not achieve the State standard, but has made adequate yearly progress. The 1999-00 school performance index is based on results for 311 students. The 1999-00 school baseline combines results for 1998-99 and 1999-00 and so reflects the performance of 669 students.